

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_129653
Title of the Manuscript:	Geochemical Background Establishment and Pollution Assessment of As, Cu, Pb and Zn in the Sirba Greenstone Belt Soils (Liptako, Niger)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Usually, the pollution issues in the environment consider an intrinsic aim for scientific research. Notably when deals with the degree of heavy metals contamination and soil pollution and the remediation process. So, the article considers important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is not suitable.  "Pollution Assessment of heavy metals As, Cu, Pb and Zn in the Sirba Greenstone Belt Soils (Liptako, Niger)"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is suitable.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript was written scientifically by acceptable way.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Abdel Hamid AIBoghdady
Department, University & Country	Menoufya University, EGYPT