

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Pathogen Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_127812
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>First detection of virulence factors in Escherichia coli isolated from urogenital tract and correlation with antimicrobial resistance at the National Public Health Laboratory (Brazzaville)</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Electrophoresis pictures are not clear enough specially the ladder bands, a key for band size of the ladder most added to pictures</li> <li>In genotypic matters: The author classified the isolates into genotypic profile P1 to P7 what are the criteria depended for this classification? This side need of manuscript need more clarify</li> <li>After I checked the primers that used in this manuscript with NCBI primer blast tool I found this: the product size of <i>afa</i> gene was 794bp not 750bp for all results and the product size of <i>sfa/foc</i> was 408bp. So the products size need to be checked and corrected by the author.</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient: 1-The author needs to add references for primers and PCR program that used in his study. 2-The reference NO. 10 has no concern with this manuscript because the reference about <i>Paracoccidioides</i> spp. A member of fungi kingdom.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	All bacterial species nomenclature most be in italicized.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muthanna Qais Aufe Al-Quraishi
Department, University & Country	Wasit University, Iraq