

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_129385
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative study between primary and secondary male infertility using some hormonal and immunological indicators in Thi Qar city
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Infertility is a one of the common problem in this modern era. Male infertility is commonly overlooked. So any research related with male infertility and hormone regulation can enrich the knowledge and provide a better platform for future studies.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The word "some hormones" in the tile is improper. The title is not correlating with the results and conclusion.</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The background and study design need to be revised and rewrite to match it with the conclusion.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	There is no link between introduction, objectives, results and conclusion. Is it a case control study or comparative study between primary and secondary infertility. The selection of case and control is not depicted. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are not clear.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The Author can add some more recent references to enrich the bibliography.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Can be considered after the revision.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The article contains certain vague words which can be replaced with better terminologies, like – some hormones,some problem, abnormalities in sperm count</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<b><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Shabina Anjum</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Taibah University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia</b>