

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_128604
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Impact of Islamic Finance Principles on Micro and Small Enterprise Performance: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important to the scientific community because it provides a reference to Islamic Finance and insights for further research in Islamic Finance. Comprehensive reviews of Islamic Finance are useful for the scientific community to understand the Islamic finance system and compare it with conventional finance systems. This will allow the scientific community to suggest an effective financial system.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The writer needs to write the objectives of the research review in the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	While it has been clear how the researcher analyses the research results (articles), this manuscript misses the clear numbers of articles in each journal category and overall numbers in all journal categories. The writer is advised to present such numbers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Excluding the article being reviewed, the references are adequate and relatively recent. However, all the reviewed articles should be written in the references. Since the writer does not mention the number of reviewed articles, to say that the reviewed articles as references are adequate is problematic.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, It is	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is important and useful to scientific communities. The writer is advised to mention briefly the objectives of the review, mention the number of articles being reviewed for each journal category and the overall journal categories, and consider the articles being reviewed are written in the references	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Supriyono
Department, University & Country	Balitar Islamic University, Indonesia