

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_129502
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic and Clinical Complexity in Jacobsen Syndrome: A Case of 11q23.3-q25 Deletion with Trigenocephaly and MYBPC3 Mutation
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a rare case report that, if the symptoms and findings are fully described, can be suitable as an educational case report for students	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title is of the article suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its amount is more than the discussion part to make the abstract a little more concise.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. It is	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Referencing in the text should be corrected (the first sentence of reference 6 is written) In the reference section, references should be numbered	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes , its suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Under each figure, important and diagnostic points related to it should be mentioned. if the images of other medical documents are available, they should be added to the article	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soraya Bakhshani
Department, University & Country	Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Iran