

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_128864
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidental Extraluminal Leiomyosarcoma Urinary Bladder: Rarest of the rare
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The author's reported a rare entity which is an extraluminal leiomyosarcoma of the urinary bladder, contributing valuable insights to medical literature. However, it requires refinement to improve its clarity, conciseness, and critical depth. The authors should further discuss the differential diagnosis for greater credibility of their diagnosis</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Since the patient presented with abdominal pain and urinary symptoms which led to conducting the investigations, it is not an incidental finding. The following title would be more suitable: Extraluminal Leiomyosarcoma Urinary Bladder: a case report</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The different sections of the abstract are not balanced; the conclusion is too long. There is also an incomprehensible sentence: "Whole body 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) imaging findings identified a faintly FDG-avid lesion in the anterior pelvic region pointing towards the diagnosis of? mesenteric mass (? nature)" , reformulate it .</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The article raises a major issue of differential diagnosis with a possible gynecological leiomyosarcoma that could extended from the uterus, especially since the authors mention a history of hysterectomy with an unknown final diagnosis. The author should perform futher immunohistochemistry using hormonal receptor to exclude a gynecological origin before proceeding to the publication of this article .</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some sentences are overly unclear (e.g., "Timely diagnosis is of utmost importance for leiomyosarcomas as it is often associated with nonspecific symptoms..."). Please simplify sentences and ensure grammatical accuracy for better readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction section: The introduction is too long, repetitive, and dilutes the focus. Shorten it by removing redundant points (e.g., repeating incidence, diagnostic markers) and focus on introducing the rarity the presentation of this leiomyosarcoma. Please state if this is the first published case.</p> <p>Case Presentation:</p> <p>Pathological examination should be better detailed especially immunohistochemistry . Especially , oestrogen and progesterone receptor should be performed to rule out a leiomyosarcoma of the uterine cavity that could have extended to the bladder.</p> <p>Given the rarity of this case, more microscopic and immunohistochemical figures with high quality are needed to support the diagnosis.. In particular , areas of leiomyosarcoma invading the smooth muscle of the bladder wall.</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <p>The authors should further discuss the differential diagnoses, particularly mesenchymal tumors of the bladder, as well as the possibility of the extension of a leiomyosarcoma from a neighboring organ</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Sarra Ben Rejeb
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Faculty of Medicine of Tunis, Tunisia