

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_128864
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidental Extraluminal Leiomyosarcoma Urinary Bladder: Rarest of the rare
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript makes a substantial contribution to the scientific community by documenting an exceptionally rare case of extraluminal leiomyosarcoma of the urinary bladder and highlighting its distinct clinical presentation and diagnostic challenges. It also highlights the importance of leiomyosarcoma will be considered as a part of differential diagnosis in atypical urinary bladder masses despite its rarity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it can be more precise and aligned according to the academic standards like: "Incidental Extraluminal Leiomyosarcoma of the Urinary Bladder: A Rare Diagnostic and Therapeutic Challenge"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is repetition of rarity and diagnostic challenges in intro and conclusion. Vague start of the case scenario in the case report. Way forward is missing like why we need to report such rare cases so it should be mentioned that it will contribute to improve diagnostic and therapeutic strategies in such rare bladder malignancies.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript is scientifically valid and well-supported by current medical information. Its scientific credibility could be improved by minor comparative analysis and detailed treatment decision discussion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are enough but to enhance manuscripts relevance and depth here are some suggested additions: 1. The case report by Fiorentino et al. (2019) discusses a unique presentation of urinary bladder leiomyosarcoma with osteoclast-like multinucleated giant cells, contributing to the understanding of histopathological variations ( <a href="#">Fiorentino et al., 2019</a> ). 2. Case study by Coiner et al. (2021) utilizes data from the SEER database to compare bladder leiomyosarcomas with those of the uterus and extremities, offering valuable epidemiological insights ( <a href="#">Coiner et al., 2021</a> ).	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A comprehensive language review, with a focus on minimizing repetition and simplifying complex sentences, would enhance the manuscript even further. Overall, the article is well-written and meets the standards of scholarly communication with minor modifications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marvi
Department, University & Country	Sir Syed College of Medical Sciences for Girls, Pakistan