

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_128864
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidental Extraluminal Leiomyosarcoma Urinary Bladder: Rarest of the rare
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Dealing with rare bladder cancers as leiomyosarcoma is very difficult to diagnosis. This type of cancer need distinct diagnostic therefore, studying leiomyosarcoma molecular and pathological characteristics may increase insights into knowledge of mesenchymal malignancies. This research is important for developing targeted treatment strategies and diagnostic techniques and important for patient outcomes also to scientific community to understanding of rare malignancies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes its suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is sufficient and comprehensive. And I see don't need to addition or deletion from abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, its correct scientifically	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ebtihal Chiad Abbas
Department, University & Country	Kufa University, Iraq