

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_129636
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Effects of Neem-Coated Urea, Agrotain Urea and Nano Nitrogen on Nutrient Uptake by Paddy Crop
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript offers important insights into how different nitrogen management strategies affect nutrient uptake and rice productivity. It shows that using NCU, especially at 100% RDN-STCR, can significantly improve nutrient use and increase rice yields. This research adds to our understanding of sustainable nitrogen management, providing useful information for farmers, agricultural scientists, and policymakers. It helps in developing strategies to boost rice production while reducing environmental impact. The study also suggests that combining nano-fertilizers with traditional methods can further improve nutrient uptake and crop yields.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, the title of the manuscript is perfectly apt for the work done by the researcher	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	No changes are needed abstract is good and written in a good manner as follows journal metrics	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, especially since it mentions the importance of nitrogen management practices in crop development and yield etc.,	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Need to add some references from recent times, some references mentioned in the manuscript are very old	

**Review Form 3**

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes it is suitable for future scholarly communications	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b><u>Name:</u></b>	<b><u>Midde Sai Kumar</u></b>
<b><u>Department, University &amp; Country</u></b>	<b><u>Malla Reddy University, India</u></b>