

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_129652
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and risk factors of deep vein thrombosis with acute stroke: a cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript talk about common clinical conditions stroke and DVT and both were extensively mentioned above in medical literature and the importance of these two clinical subjects need more innovative knowledge , study and research for the sake of scientific medical and non medical communities .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable .	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract's background , it was mentioned to provide better outcomes , which outcome ? as the article is not involving the detailed management and treatment . We noticed detailed mention of the statistical methods ,but the methodology of clinical examination , detecting risk factors and measuring NIHSS scoring , age range , pro-thrombotic states as we know antiphospholipid syndrome is not rare cause of acute stroke in females	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The writing of tables 1 - 5 notes should be written above the table and for fig 1 not like a curve of Receiver operating characteristic curves needs to be re evaluated and some of the lab results need further explanation like low level of albumin in DVT patients with acute stroke , RDW and high blood glucose	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference number 6 , I think it does not match with text knowledge referred to , and has to be precised and rechecked . There only 5 references for discussion and it seems to be below average number as there are a lot of variables in the article to be discussed .In the discussion , the researcher mention details about NIHSS which should be mentioned and written in the methodology session	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is suitable .	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	From my opinion the NIHSS is considered as score of severity of stroke and is not a modifiable or non modifiable risk factor and this has to be reviewed .	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monem Makki Alshok
Department, University & Country	College of Medicine University of Babylon, Iraq