

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_129832
Title of the Manuscript:	Development Of Bioprocess Technology For Parasite Control In Aquaculture Commodities
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript addresses a critical challenge in the aquaculture industry: sustainable parasite control. by giving innovative bioprocess technologies, it offers solutions to reduce dependency on chemical treatments, which often have adverse environmental effects. The manuscript is highly relevant to the scientific community, as it contributes to the growing body of research on environmentally friendly and sustainable aquaculture practices in industry and community	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is good and comprehensive, the little correction I made is in the manuscript	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and good	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	I suggested to cross-check references for accuracy, particularly the citation years and authors (e.g., "Widdicombe et al., 2024" seems to reference an unpublished work because the journal/publisher didn't mentioned).	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is good and suitable for scholarly contributions	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

<b>Name:</b>	Mustapha Saidu
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	Federal College of Freshwater Fisheries Technology Baga, Nigeria