

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_129633
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of glyphosate Roundup Turbo (450 g/l) on haematobiochemical indices of fresh water African catfish <i>Clarias gariepinus</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Aquatic environment and it's resources are extremely valuable natural assets enjoyed by almost all scientific community, And majority of the sprayed herbicides reach destination other than the targeted ones to the non targeted ones like Air, water, sediment etc. Fishes are one of the most important organism that get affected, And when they are affected it means the humans too are affected directly or indirectly; Consuming as food or Source of Employment to many people.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest "Assessment instead of evaluation And I think "Fingerlings" should be indicated in the topic not till the reader go deep to Methodology	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It's Correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	They are sufficient	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It's indeed suitable	
Optional/General comments	In the Methodology, 2.8.1 (blood sampling), I suggest that "equation 1,2 and 3" to be removed Infront of the formulas written. Also in 2.10 Data Analysis, what was used to calculate the lethal Concentration at 50 (Lc50) wasn't indicated.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aslamiyya Salihu Hussain
Department, University & Country	Federal University Dutse, Nigeria