

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129704
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNIQUES USED BY INTERPRETERS IN INCLUSIVE CLASSROOMS AT HIGHER LEARNING INSTITUTIONS IN ZAMBIA: A CASE STUDY OF KITWE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a clear and organized article. The results contribute to a deeper understanding of contextualized experiences of inclusion from the perspective of the actors involved.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The proposed title is adequate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary requires clarification: indicate the objective and the analysis procedure.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This is a scientifically correct manuscript. There is coherence between the delimitation of the research problem, the theoretical review and the method used. The reporting of results is systematic.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are pertinent and sufficient.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is appropriate	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	MARCO ANTONIO VILLALTA PAUCAR
Department, University & Country	Escuela De Psicologia Universidad De Santiago De Chile Chile