

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129704
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNIQUES USED BY INTERPRETERS IN INCLUSIVE CLASSROOMS AT HIGHER LEARNING INSTITUTIONS IN ZAMBIA: A CASE STUDY OF KITWE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study adds to the flavour of research on inclusivity. It is scientifically sound but overall lacks depth. Some findings resemble sweeping statements. Perhaps, investigating the issue with a bigger sample size would have given broader perspectives. Anyhow, it is worth a try.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title doesn't match the study. My suggested title, after checking availability, would be as follows: "Interpretation as a Technique to Foster Inclusive Classrooms at Higher Learning Institutions in Zambia: A Case Study of Kitwe College of Education"	

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct, and it follows established pattern.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is perfect.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More information about the study program, the subject under discussion, the official medium of instruction and the participants should be included. (Have you made your sample 'inclusive' by including both male and female participants?) • I have highlighted few sentences in the manuscript for rectification. • The author has used both third person singular and plural pronoun for himself/herself. Reconcile • Page 12, Line 11: But you have mentioned the institution's name, haven't you? • Page 14, Line 5: As if you are telling a universal truth. • Page 15, 4.1.5 Line: 1 Add more information about the local languages and the subject/Class. • Different Interpretations of S7 on page 15 and 18. Reconcile. • Page 17 4.2.2 Last Line: No substantiation, just a statement. Wise students, if correct. • Page 18, 4.2.5: Line 2 What is the official medium of instruction? • Page 19 4.3 Line 5: Explain the attitudes. • Page 25: Line 3: But your title suggests generalization. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khalid Azim Khan
Department, University & Country	City University of Science and Information, Pakistan