

Original Research Article

Antimicrobial use and antimicrobial susceptibility in *Escherichia coli* on small-scale rabbit farming systems in Korhogo, north-west of Côte d'Ivoire

ABSTRACT

Aims: In the rabbit farming, antimicrobials are used in for treating sick animals, control treatment of whole, preventive treatment and growth promotion. Here for, their overuse and inappropriate use contribute to the selection and dissemination of resistant bacteria, thus posing a major public health risk.

Study design: We carried out a cross-sectional prospective study.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted in Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire during November 2022 to May 2023.

Methodology: Questionnaire was sent to 48 farmers, followed by the collection of fresh rabbit droppings from 25 farms. *Escherichia coli* was detected by inoculating droppings on chromogenic medium, followed by identification on the basis of biochemical characteristics. Resistance was studied using the Müeller-Hinton agar diffusion method against 12 antibiotics.

Results: Of the 48 farmers who took part in the study, less than 1 in 3 (29.2%, 14/48) used antibiotics, and oxytetracycline was the most widely used antibiotic. Digestive disease, skin and respiratory disease were the main causes of antibiotic use. The 56 *E. coli* isolates were highly resistant to colistin (82.1%), tetracycline (53.6%), amikacin (37.5%) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (32.1%). Resistance rate to cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, aztreonam, nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin were very low (< 4%). However, all isolates were sensitive to amoxicillin + clavulanic acid and imipenem. The prevalence of ESBL *E. coli* was 3.6%. A significant number of *E. coli* isolate had a MAR index > 0.2.

Conclusion: This study highlights the poor use of antibiotics on rabbit farms in Korhogo, with high levels of resistance to certain antibiotics of critical importance in human medicine. Hence the need to intensify awareness-raising and training of farmers in the rational use of antibiotics, in order to reduce the risk of dissemination of resistant bacteria within the population.

Keywords: Rabbits, antibiotics, antimicrobial resistance, farmers practices, Côte d'Ivoire

1. INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) poses a worldwide public health concern that undermines the provision of effective treatments, leading to limited and more harmful therapeutic options

and increased risk of death [1]. There were an estimated 4,95 million deaths associated with bacterial AMR in 2019, including 1.27 million deaths attributable to bacterial AMR. Without preventative measures, it is estimated that by 2050, AMR could potentially become the world's primary cause of death mainly in sub-Saharan Africa, which is considered expected to be one of the regions with the highest AMR-related mortality rates across all ages [2].

E. coli is a bacterium naturally present in the intestinal tract of rabbits and other warm-blooded animals. It can cause extra-intestinal infections (such as urinary tract infections or septicemia). In the rabbit farming, antimicrobials are applied in livestock farming for number purposes such as therapeutic (treating sick animals), meta phylaxis (control treatment of whole herd in case of disease outbreak), prophylaxis (preventive treatment), and growth promotion. Therefore, the selection pressure of multi-resistant bacteria due to massive use of antibiotics in farming is high. The fecal flora of animals contains a relatively high proportion of resistant bacteria making treatment difficult and causing a worldwide public health issue [3 ; 4]. Also, although commensal bacteria are normally harmless, they may constitute a reservoir of resistance genes that may be transmitted to pathogenic bacteria [5].

In Africa, the use and control of antimicrobials, remains largely unregulated [6]. Some African studies focused on the antimicrobial usage in livestock indicate that there is an irrational use due to the unregulated access and even administration of veterinary drugs [7, 8]. Even though in many African countries it is illegal for any person who is not a registered veterinarian to administer antibiotics, there are no strict control measures, and often farmers purchase and administer a drug without veterinary prescription and supervision [7, 8]. The data of different surveys conducted in Nigeria [7], Zambia [8] and South Africa [9] about the sales of antimicrobials for farm animals indicate that, even considering variations between countries or animal species (mammals or poultry), tetracyclines and beta-lactams (mainly penicillin) are among the first four leading antibiotics commonly employed in livestock animal production. Sulphonamides and macrolides are also frequently consumed antimicrobials, this last group (with reference specifically to tyrosine) has been reported as the most extensively sold in South Africa for treatment and prevention of veterinary diseases and also, at subtherapeutic levels, as a registered growth promoter [9]. To limit the misuse of antibiotics affecting the spread of AMR, it is crucial to understand the factors influencing the knowledge of antibiotics and practices among small-scale farmers

The national plan to combat antimicrobial resistance 2021-2025 of Cote d'Ivoire, emphasizes the need for an effective "One Health" approach, involving the coordination of many national and international sectors and players, including human medicine, veterinary medicine, agriculture, finance, the environment and well-informed consumers. This program includes monitoring antibiotic use in the livestock sector and improving awareness of antibiotic use through educational campaigns. The majority of production in Cote d'Ivoire are taken in at small-scale levels and provide investment opportunities and additional income for families. The latest of the few studies on AMR showed misuse of antibiotics and the presence of multidrug-resistant *E. coli* among cattle [10], pigs [11], and poultry [12]. However, data concerning antibiotic use practices and the level of *E. coli* resistance in rabbit farms are non-existent.

Therefore, in this study, the use and practice of antibiotics by rabbit's farmers in Korhogo area and we collected fecal samples from rabbit farms and isolated *E. coli* strains and then analyzed their drug resistance, in order to provide information to determine reasonable use of antibiotics.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study area

This study was conducted from November 2022 to May 2023 in Korhogo (Figure 1). This town is located in the North of Cote d'Ivoire, at 9° 27' 41" N, 5° 38' 19" W, and a total town area of 12,500 km². Korhogo is part of the Savanes region, bordering Mali and Burkina Faso. Korhogo is 635 km from Abidjan, the country's economic capital and largest city, and 331 km from Yamoussoukro, the political capital.




Figure 1. Study area

2.2 Study design and data collection

The first stage was the census and contact phase with the various farmers, followed by the second stage, which involved the survey and collection of rabbit feces. The questionnaire consisted of a brief introduction, which explained the purpose of the questionnaire, the importance of the respondent's participation and a statement guaranteeing confidentiality.

A semi structured questionnaire with five different sections. In the first section demographic information such as the gender of farmer, age, (in year), matrimonial status, level of education, experience in farmer, main of occupation. In the second section, consisted of questions related to attitudes and practices. The third section the respondents were asked about types of antibiotics commonly used, the last session consisted of questions related to attitudes and practices. All questions were closed-ended as respondents were given options to select.

A pool of three-day-old fresh droppings was randomly collected from 3 different cages on each rabbit farm. with a sterile plastic spatula, from each selected farm and put in a 30 mL

sterile container. The sample were carefully labeled and transported to university peléforo GON COULIBALY within 1-6h in a cooler containing cold accumulators, and stored at -20°C in a freezer before microbiological analysis. Because not all farmers accepted, only 25 samples were obtained. Because the sampling process did not harm the animals, ethical approval was not required for the study.

2.3 Isolation of *E. coli* and antimicrobial susceptibility testing

In the laboratory, 1 g of fecal samples was inoculated into 9 ml of distilled water and incubated at 37° C. for 18 h. Subsequently, the cultures were streaked on RAPID E coli and incubated overnight at 37° C. Tree colonies that showed growth characteristics of *E. coli* (violet colonies) were randomly selected and subjected to indole and uree tests for identification of *E. coli* isolates. Confirmed *E. coli* colony per sample was randomly selected for antibiotic sensitivity testing. Selected *E. coli* isolates for sensitivity testing were streaked onto ordinaire agar, incubated overnight and subjected to sensitivity tests the following day.

2.4 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial sensitivity testing was carried with the disk diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar according to the recommendations reported by the Société Française de Microbiologie [13]. Twelve antimicrobial agent disks were selected because they are commonly used to treat bacterial diseases in both humans and animals. The used antibiotics were amoxicillin-acid-clavulanic (20/10 µg), Ceftazidime (30 µg), Cefotaxime (30 µg), Aztreonam (30 µg), Ceftriaxone (30 µg), Imipenem (10 µg), Ciprofloxacin (5 µg) ; Amikacin (10 µg), Acid nalidixic (30µg), Tetracycline (30 µg), Trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole (1,25/23,75 µg) and Colistin (10 µg). After inoculation by *E. coli* isolates and antibiotic disks' placement, Muller-Hinton agar plates were incubated at 37 °C for 18 h. After incubation, the inhibition zones were measured using calipers to the nearest milli-meter and interpreted as susceptible, intermediate and resistant [13]. Phenotypic ESBL production was tested using the combination disk method using, Amoxicillin–clavulanic acid (AMC), ceftazidime (CAZ), and Cefotaxime (CTX) as described by Jarlieret *al*, 1966 [14]. *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as a reference strain for quality control of antibiotic sensitivity testing. The MAR index was calculated following the prescription of Kuperman as number of antibiotics to which isolate is resistant divided by the total number of antibiotics against which isolate was tested.

2.5 Statistical analyses

Data were processed with Microsoft Excel 2019 and analyzed as descriptive statistics.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Farmer's socio-demographics characteristics

The results showed that among the farmers surveyed 45 (93.8%) were men, and 3 (6.3%) were women with an average age of 31.9 years. With regard to the level of education, more than half (58.3%, 28/48) had attained higher education, 10 (20.8%) had secondary education, (6.3%) primary education and 7 (14.71%) had non instructed. About experience in rabbit farming, 34 (70.8%) of breeders have more than 2 years' experience. With regard to training in farmers, the vast majority (72.9%, 35/48) claim not to have undergone any training. Also, farmers were mainly carried out by other than farming (97.9%) (Table 1).

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics

Characteristics	n (%)
Gender	
Men	45(93.8)
Women	3(6.3)
Age (Median, Mode)	31.90 (29 ;30)
Education level	
Illiterate	7(14.71)
Primary school	3(6.3)
Secondary school	10(20.8)
University school	28(58.3)
Experience in farming (years)	
Less than 1	6(12.5)
1 – 2	8(16.7)
More than 2	34(70.8)
Training	
No	35(72.9)
Yes	13(27.1)
Main occupation	
Rabbits farming	1(2.1)
Other than farming	47(97.9)

3.2 Practices, recent diseases in the farm, antimicrobial used in farms

Practices and use of antibiotics by rabbit farmers are reported in Table 2. About less than one in three of respondents (29.2%) reported to use antibiotics in their rabbit, whereas the rest (70.8%) reported having never used antibiotics in their rabbit. Veterinary pharmacies (92.9%) were the main source of antibiotics for farmers. Among the 14 respondents who used antibiotics, the majority claimed to use antibiotics for infection treatment (71.4%, 10/14). Additionally, antibiotics were mainly administered by injection (57.1%, 8/14), in drinking water (28.6%, 4/14), or both (14.3%, 2/14). About under half the farms (42.9%,) have a one-week course, 6 (42.9%) have a one-month course and 2 (14.2%) administer the antibiotic when the female has farrowed or if necessary. About under half of farmers (42.9%) say they never estimate the weight of their animals before administering antibiotics. Fewer than one in 3 (28.6%) say they stop administering the antibiotic once the signs of disease have disappeared, 4 (28.6%) take into account the duration of use indicated on the leaflet, and only 1(7.1%) stop treatment only when the antibiotic is finished. The vast majority of farmers (85.7%) claim not to respect the waiting period after applying the drug to rabbits.

Table 2. Practices and use of antibiotics

Elements	n (%)
Use antibiotics	
No	34(70.8)
Yes	14(29.2)
Source of antibiotics	

<i>From veterinary pharmacy</i>	13(92.9)
<i>From other than veterinary pharmacy</i>	1(7.1)
Purpose	
<i>Treatment</i>	10(71.4)
<i>Prevention (prophylaxis)</i>	3(21.4)
<i>Growth promotion</i>	1(7.2)
Administration route	
<i>Drinking water</i>	4(28.6)
<i>Injection</i>	8(57.1)
<i>Drinking water and injection</i>	2(14.3)
Frequency of administration	
<i>Week</i>	6(42.9)
<i>Month</i>	6(42.9)
<i>After parturition</i>	2(14.2)
Estimated animal weight before antibiotic administration	
<i>Never</i>	6(42.9)
<i>Sometimes</i>	2(14.2)
<i>Always</i>	6(42.9)
Antibiotics discontinuation criteria	
<i>Signs of illness have disappeared</i>	4(28.6)
<i>According to the duration indicated on the package leaflet</i>	5(35.7)
<i>As recommended by veterinarian</i>	4(28.6)
<i>When antibiotic is finished</i>	1(7.1)
Respect for waiting times after treatment	
<i>No</i>	12(85.7)
<i>Yes</i>	2(12.3)

Table 3 reported diseases for which antibiotics are used by farmers. Respiratory diseases (38.71 %), skin disease (30.65%) and digestive diseases (25.81 %) are the main causes of antibiotics used by farmers. In over hand, antibiotics are used too for pain (3,23 %), and post-parturient infection (1.61 %;).

Table 3. Diseases treated with antibiotics on 20 small farms in korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire 2023

Elements	n (%)
Diseases treated (n=62)	
Respiratory disease	24(38.71)
Skin disease	19(30.65)
Digestive disease	16(25.81)
Pain	2(3.23)
Post-parturient infection	1(1.61)

The frequency with which antibiotics used on the various farms were cited is shown in **Table 4**. The main antibiotics were oxytetracycline (52.94%; 9/17), penicillin (17.65%; 3/17), cefoxitin (11.6%; 2/17), colistin, sulfadine and tylosin, each cited by 5.88% (1/17) (Fig. 2).

Table 4. Antibiotics use by farmers

Elements	n (%)
Antibiotics (n=17)	
Cefoxitin	2(11.6)
Colistin	1(5.88)
Oxytetracycline	9(52.94)
Penicillin	3(17.65)
Sulfadine	1(5.88)
Tylosine	1(5.88)



Fig. 2. Antimicrobial use by rabbit's farmers

3.3 Isolation of *E. coli*

From November 2022 to May 2023, a total of 58 strains were isolated, of 25 fecal samples received from 25 different rabbit farms. Two strains have been missed so 56 were tested for sensitivity to twelve antibiotics.

3.4 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

From **table5**, all strains were sensitive to amoxicillin+ clavulanic acid and imipenem. We detected high resistance to colistin (82.1 %), tetracycline (53.6 %), amikacin (37.5 %) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (32.1 %) and low resistance to cefotaxime (1.8 %) nalidixic acid (1.8%), ceftriaxone (3.6 %), aztreonam (5.4 %), ceftazidime (7.1 %), ciprofloxacin (3.6 %). In more, 2 strains were phenotype ESBL (Fig. 3).

Table 5. Susceptibility of 56 *E. coli* to 12 antibiotics (S: susceptible; I: intermediate; R: resistant)

N°	Antibiotics	Number of <i>E.coli</i> (%)		
		R	I	S
1	Amoxicillin/clavulanic	0(0)	0(0)	56(100)
2	Aztreonam	3(5.4)	0(0)	53(94.6)
3	Cefotaxime	1(1.8)	0(0)	55(98.2)
4	Ceftazidime	4(7.1)	0(0)	52(92.9)
5	Ceftriaxone	2(3.6)	0(0)	54(96.4)
6	Imipenem	0(0)	0(0)	56(100)
7	Niladic acid	1(1.8)	0(0)	55(98.2)
8	Ciprofloxacin	2(3.6)	0(0)	54(96.4)
9	Tetracycline	30(53.6)	2(3,6)	24(42.9)
10	Amikacin	21(37.5)	0(0)	35(62.5)
11	Trimetoprim+Sulfametoxazole	18(32.1)	1(1.8)	37(66.1)
12	Colistin	46(82.1)	8(14.3)	2(3.6)
2 missing				




Fig3. *E. coli* ESBL isolates

When the MAR indices of the isolates was calculated, 53.6% were found to have an index \leq 0.2 while none had an index of 1.0, being resistant to all antibiotics it was tested against (**Table6**).

Table 6. Multiple Antibiotic Resistance index of *E. coli* isolates

MAR index	Number of <i>E.coli</i> (%)
0.1	8 (14.3)
0.2	22(39.3)
0.3	19(35.8)

0.4	1(1.8)
0.5	1(1.8)
0.6	1(1.8)

3.5 Discussion

This study was conducted to assess *E. coli* resistance levels on rabbit farms in Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire. To this end, a questionnaire was administered to rabbit breeders to collect data on their knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding antibiotics. Subsequently, samples of rabbit droppings were analyzed for *E. coli* bacteria.

In this study, the common diseases faced by livestock farmers were mainly, respiratory diseases (38.71 %), skin disease (30,65%) and digestive diseases (25.81 %). In comparison, data from the network monitoring antibiotic resistance of animal pathogenic bacteria diseases indicated respiratory infections (29%), followed by a similar incidence of digestive infections (21%), septicemia (16%), skin and soft tissue infections (13%) [15]. In this study, it was found that a large proportion of these diseases required the use of antibiotics by farmers. These data are similar to a study carried out in Italy, [16] where a large majority of rabbit breeders (76%) use antibiotics on their farms. The low use of antibiotics on rabbit farms, in contrast to other sectors such as cattle [10], poultry [12], could be explained by the fact that farmers have a high level of education and are certainly aware of the effects of antibiotic misuse on human health.

Cattle farmers claim to use antibiotics, some of which are classified by the World Health Organization (WHO) as critically important for human medicine: oxytetracycline, penicillin, cefoxitin, colistin, sulfadine and tylosin[17]. In Côte d'Ivoire, the use of antibiotic groups such as tetracycline, sulfonamide and penicillin, colistin in cattle [10], and poultry [12] has been reported. The irrational use of these broad-spectrum antibiotics of importance in human and animal health may contribute to the selection of resistant bacteria in rabbit farms, and in the long term pose a threat to public health.

Just under half the farmers said they never estimated the weight of their animals before administering antibiotics, and in some cases, the time limits for antibiotic use were not respected. Some farmers said they did not respect the waiting period after applying the drug to rabbits. The vast majority of farmers purchased antibiotics from veterinary pharmacies. The majority of farmers claimed to use antibiotics for curative purposes. Antibiotics were mainly administered by injection or in drinking water. In view of the above, we can affirm that farmers use antibiotics inappropriately, yet inappropriate use of antimicrobials is always a determining factor in the development of antimicrobial resistance [18].

In this study, the resistance profile of 56 *E. coli* strains to 12 antibiotics listed as both critically important antimicrobials for human therapy (CIA) and critically important veterinary antibiotics (CVIA), including amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, amikacin, and ciprofloxacin. Four were exclusively in the AIC category: ceftazidime, cefotaxime, aztreonam and imipenem. In addition, a few antibiotics, such as cefoxitin, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, were included in the WHO list of Very Important Antibiotics (VIA) [17]. Our results reveal high levels of resistance to several critically important antibiotics used in human and veterinary medicine: colistin (82.1%), tetracycline (53.6%), amikacin (37.5%) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (32.1%). The high rates of resistance of *E. coli* isolated from rabbit droppings to colistin differ from those reported in other studies (10%) [15]. High rates of resistance to tetracycline have already been reported, in Tunisia (95%) [19], in Tai 'an(78.2%)[20], in France (83%) [15], due to the widespread use of tetracyclines to control and prevent rabbit diseases. In Côte d'Ivoire, its frequent use in the veterinary and human

health sectors has been cited as a factor contributing to the emergence and spread of tetracycline-resistant bacteria in cattle [10] poultry [12] and pig [11] farms. In this study, the rate of resistance to amikacin (37.5%) was contrary to those of Silva *et al.*, 2024 (8.3%) [21], and Marinho *et al.*, 2014 (1.3-3%) [22]. With regard to the high level of resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (32.1%) obtained in our study, data are far superior to the level of resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1.3%) on *E. coli* isolates isolated from wild rabbits on the island of São Jorge, in the Azores archipelago [22]. However, these data are still lower than previous studies, which report much higher resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole in *E. coli* isolated from farmed rabbit droppings, notably in Tunisia (60%) [19] and Portugal (75%) [21]. Nevertheless, some broad-spectrum beta-lactams (cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, aztreonam) and quinolones (nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin) have low resistance rates (5.4%), as do amoxicillin + clavulanic acid and imipenem, for which no resistance has been observed. Low levels of resistance or the absence of resistance to these molecules has already been reported [19, 23]. The low levels of beta-lactam resistance observed in this study may be explained by the fact that beta-lactams are rarely used in rabbits, due to drug-related diarrhea.

In this study, the presence of *E. coli* ESBL was detected in 2 of the 56 strains studied (3.6%). This low rate could be explained by the fact that farmers rarely use beta-lactam antibiotics. Our results are contrary to those reported in many countries such as Portugal [21], China [20] or Italy [16]. ESBL pathogens pose a significant threat as they can cause severe and long-lasting infections, raising the possibility of a global pandemic [24]. Multiple antibiotic resistance index is helpful in analyzing health risk, as well as to check the extent of antibiotic resistance [25]. In this study, a significant number of *E. coli* isolate had a MAR index > 0.2 indicating their source to be from sources where antibiotics are commonly used, or previous exposure of the organism to antimicrobial agents. Which means that isolates were from high-risk sources of antibiotics resistance.

Like all scientific work, this study has its limitations. The first limitation concerns the survey data, in particular antimicrobial use and practices. The results are based on self-reports and are therefore likely to be biased. It is therefore likely that the list of antibiotics and the conditions of their use are not exhaustive. In this context, the data from the current study should be interpreted with caution. The second limitation of the study is the relatively small size of the droppings sample, which was only taken from 25 of the 48 farms surveyed, as some farmers refused to allow samples to be taken. The third limitation concerns the panel of antimicrobial activity tests used. This panel is designed to detect resistance in *E. coli*, so there may be resistance in other bacterial species and to other antimicrobials not tested in this study.

4. CONCLUSION

This survey of small-scale rabbit farmers in Korhogo showed that the majority of breeders claim not to use antibiotics. But when they do, they use them inappropriately. The use of antibiotics, some of which are classified by the WHO as critically important for human medicine, was reported. This is thought to be due to multifactorial causes such as the high prevalence of infectious diseases, low levels of education, easy access to antibiotics and weak biosafety measures. The study also showed high levels of resistance to many critically important antibiotics, such as colistin, tetracycline, amikacin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. This uncontrolled use of antimicrobials and the presence of resistance bacteria in rabbits may present a substantial source of transmission to farmers, to consumers via contaminated food and also risks of dissemination in the environment, via the use of droppings as organic fertilizer

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests OR non-financial interests OR personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Murray, C. J., Ikuta, K. S., Sharara, F., Swetschinski, L., Aguilar, G. R., Gray, A., & Tasak, N. (2022). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *The lancet*, 399(10325), 629-655.
2. O'Neill J. Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally: Final Report and Recommendations. *The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance*. 2016. https://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/160525_Final%20paper_with%20cover.pdf.
3. Van den Bogaard, A. E. & Stobberingh, E. E. (1999). Antibiotic usage in animals—impact on bacterial resistance and public health. *Drugs* 58, 589–607.
4. Montoro-Dasi L, Villagra A, Sevilla-Navarro, S, Perez-Gracia M.T. Vega S, Marin C. (2020). The dynamic of antibiotic resistance in commensal *Escherichia coli* throughout the growing period in broiler chickens: fast-growing vs. slow-growing breeds *Poult. Sci.*, 99 : 1591-1597
5. Marshall BM, Ochieng DJ, Levy SB. (2009). Commensals: underappreciated reservoir of antibiotic resistance. *Microbe.*;4:231–8.
6. Maron, D.F., Smith, T.J. and Nachman, K.E. (2013). Restrictions on antimicrobial use in food animal production: an international regulatory and economic survey. *Global Health* 9, 48.
7. Adesokan HK, Akinseye VO, Sulaimon MA (2018). Knowledge and practices about zoonotic tuberculosis prevention and associated determinants amongst livestock workers in Nigeria; 2015. *PLoS ONE* 13(6): e0198810. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198810>
8. Mainda, G., Bessell, P., Muma, J. *et al.* (2015). Prevalence and patterns of antimicrobial resistance among *Escherichia coli* isolated from Zambian dairy cattle across different production systems. *Sci Rep* 5, 12439. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12439>
9. Eagar H, Swan G, Van Vuuren MA (2012). Survey of antimicrobial usage in animals in South Africa with specific reference to food animals. *J. S. Afr. Vet. Assoc.* 83(1): E1-8 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/jsava.v83i1.16>

10. Yao, R K, Coulibaly J K, Tiekoura B K, Yapi F H, and Djaman J A. (2018). Molecular Characterisation of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Producing *Escherichia Coli* Isolated from Cattle Faeces in Abidjan District, Ivory Coast". *Microbiology Research Journal International* 25 (5):1-10
11. Koné, A.N.T., Kouadio-Ngbesso, N., Atobla, K., Kouadio, I.K., Konan, F.K., Ouattara, B.M., Dje, M.K., Dosso, M., Guessenn, N.K. and Dadie, A. (2020). Genotypic Resistance Profile of *Escherichia coli* Producing Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamase (ESBL) Isolated from Piglets in Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire). *Asian Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology & Environmental Sciences* 22(2):283-289.
12. Assoumy MA, Bedekelabou AP, Teko-Agbo A, Ossebi W, Akoda K, Nimbona F, Zeba SH, Zobo AA, Tiecoura RCT, Kallo V, Dagnogo K, Bada-Alambédji R. (2021). Antibiotic resistance of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella spp.* strains isolated from healthy poultry farms in the districts of Abidjan and Agnibilékrou (Côte d'Ivoire). *Vet World*.14(4):1020-1027.
13. CASFM Comité de l'Antibiogramme de La Société Française de Microbiologie Recommandations vétérinaires 2023. *Com. L'Antibiogramme Société Française Microbiol.* 2023, 15. Availableonline:https://www.sfm-microbiologie.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/CASFM_VET2023.pdf.
14. Jarlier, V., Nicolas, M. H., Fournier, G. and Philippon, A. I. (1998). Extended spectrum β -lactamases conferring transferable resistance to newer β lactamase agents in Enterobacteriaceae hospital prevalence and susceptibility patterns. *Reviews of Infectious Diseases* 10, 867-878
15. RESAPATH. (2023). French surveillance network for antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from diseased animals 2021 Annual report. Disponible en ligne <https://www.anses.fr/en/system/files/LABO-Ra-Resapath2021EN.pdf>
16. Di Martino, G., Crovato, S., Pinto, A., Dorotea, T., Mascarello, G., Brunetta, R., Bonfanti, L. (2019). Farmers' attitudes towards antimicrobial use and awareness of antimicrobial resistance: a comparative study among turkey and rabbit farmers. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 18(1), 194–201. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2018.1504236>
17. Scott, H.M.; Acuff, G.; Bergeron, G.; Bourassa, M.W.; Gill, J.; Graham, D.W.; Kahn, L.H.; Morley, P.S.; Salois, M.J.; Simjee, S.; et al. (2019). Critically Important Antibiotics: Criteria and Approaches for Measuring and Reducing Their Use in Food Animal Agriculture. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1441, 8–16
18. Uddin TM, Chakraborty AJ, Khusro A, Zidan BRM, Mitra S, Emran TB, Dhama K, Ripon MKH, Gajdacs M, Sahibzada MUK, Hossain MJ, Koirala N. (2021). Antibiotic resistance in microbes: History, mechanisms, therapeutic strategies and future prospects. *J Infect Public Health*.14(12):1750-1766. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2021.10.020. Epub 2021 Oct 23. PMID: 34756812.
19. Ben Rhouma, R.; Jouini, A.; Klibi, A.; Hamrouni, S.; Boubaker, A.; Kmiha, S.; Maaroufi, A. (2020). Molecular Characterisation of Antimicrobial Resistance and Virulence Genes in *Escherichia coli* Strains Isolated from Diarrhoeic and Healthy Rabbits in Tunisia. *World Rabbit Sci.* 2020, 28, 81–91

20. Zhao, X.; Zhao, H.; Zhou, Z.; Miao, Y.; Li, R.; Yang, B.; Cao, C.; Xiao, S.; Wang, X.; Liu, H.; et al. (2022). Characterization of Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamase-Producing *Escherichia coli* Isolates That Cause Diarrhea in Sheep in Northwest China. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 10, e01595-22.
21. Silva, A.; Silva, V.; Tavares, T.; López, M.; Rojo-Bezares, B.; Pereira, J.E.; Falco, V.; Valentão, P.; Igrejas, G.; Sáenz, Y.; et al. (2024). Rabbits as a Reservoir of Multidrug-Resistant *Escherichia coli*: Clonal Lineages and Public Health Impact. *Antibiotics*, 13, 376. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics13040376>
22. Marinho C, Igrejas G, Gonçalves A, Silva N, Santos T, Monteiro R, Gonçalves D, Rodrigues T, Poeta P (2014). Azorean wild rabbits as reservoirs of antimicrobial resistant *Escherichia coli*. *Anaerobe.* 30:116-9.
23. Mayada A.M. Abou Zeid , Atef A. Salim, Haneen A. Ghazy (2024). Role of acetic acid as antibacterial on *E.coli* isolated from young rabbits. *Egyptian Journal of Animal Health*, 4(2), 110-122.
24. Cummins EA, Snaith AE, McNally A, Hall RJ. (2021)The role of potentiating mutations in the evolution of pandemic *Escherichia coli* clones. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 17. doi: 10.1007/s10096-021-04359-3. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34787747.
25. Saba R, Muhammad F, Shahida H. (2011). Antibiotic susceptibility pattern and multiple antibiotic resistances (MAR) calculation of extended spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) producing *Escherichiacoli* and *Klebsiella* species in Pakistan. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*10(33):6325- 6331