

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130023
Title of the Manuscript:	Social interaction pattern of Self Help Groups (SHGs) in Rayagada District of Odisha.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides critical insights into the dynamics and effectiveness of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in fostering community development and empowerment. By employing innovative tools such as sociograms and analyzing group cohesion and individual participation, the study advances the understanding of how group structures and interactions contribute to collective decision-making and problem-solving. Furthermore, the findings can inform policymakers and practitioners on optimizing SHG frameworks for improved outcomes, especially in vulnerable communities. The manuscript not only fills a gap in existing literature but also opens avenues for future research on enhancing the impact of grassroots initiatives.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Social Interaction Patterns within Self-Help Groups: A Study of Rayagada District, Odisha	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is largely comprehensive, covering key elements of the research, such as the focus on self-help groups (SHGs) in Rayagada district, the methodology, key findings, and conclusions.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on the provided abstract and the overview of the manuscript, it appears that the study is scientifically sound in its approach. However, I cannot assess the entire manuscript's scientific correctness without reviewing the full content.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	To determine if the references in the manuscript are sufficient and recent, I would recommend reviewing the list for a balance between foundational and contemporary works, ensuring comprehensive coverage of relevant topics. The manuscript relies heavily on older sources or lacks recent empirical studies, updating the references will improve its scholarly rigor.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The feeling is that the language could be improved, specific suggestions would involve simplifying overly complex sentences, improving transitions between sections, and ensuring the vocabulary is both precise and consistent.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>This suggests that the manuscript is generally well-written and scientifically sound, but there are areas for improvement in terms of language clarity, organization, and perhaps the addition of a few references. With some minor revisions, it could meet the standards for scholarly communication.</p> <p>Here are the key reasons for this mark:</p> <p>Content: The scientific accuracy and research foundation are solid, but some additional references and further explanation of specific points could strengthen the overall impact. Language: The language quality is adequate but may benefit from minor edits for clarity and structure. Organization: Overall, the manuscript follows a logical structure but may require slight adjustments in flow. With these minor revisions, the manuscript could likely be accepted for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Chandra Shekhar</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>IGNOU, India</p>