

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130023
Title of the Manuscript:	Social interaction pattern of Self Help Groups (SHGs) in Rayagada District of Odisha.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) This manuscript provides valuable insights into Social interaction pattern of Self HelpGroups (SHGs) in Rayagada District of Odisha. addressing a gap in the existing literature and offering new perspectives that contribute significantly to the scientific understanding of the subject. 2) The findings presented are not only relevant for advancing theoretical knowledge but also have practical implications for potentially guiding future research and influencing real-world practices. 3) By incorporating robust methodologies and offering detailed analyses, this work contributes to the ongoing dialogue in ensuring its importance for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers alike. 4) Furthermore, the manuscript's emphasis on makes it a notable addition to the body of work in, fostering further academic discussions and potential collaborations. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here	The abstract of the article is comprehensive and effectively encapsulates the key points of the study, including the focus on social interaction patterns within SHGs, the research design, and the significant findings regarding empowerment, social cohesion, and skill development.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically correct in its approach and findings, but it would benefit from additional detail on data analysis, sampling methodology, and ethical considerations. The inclusion of these elements will enhance its scientific validity and make the research more transparent and rigorous.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>To ensure the manuscript is grounded in both sufficient and recent research, it is crucial to incorporate references that are directly related to SHGs, social networks, women's empowerment, and rural development. Ensuring that the literature is up to date and relevant to the study's context will strengthen the manuscript's academic integrity.</p> <p>Suggested Recent References: Narayan, D., & Pritchett, L. (2020). "Empowering women through community-driven development programs: A study on Self-Help Groups in India." <i>Journal of Development Studies</i>, 56(3), 451-468. Bian, Y. (2019). "Social networks and rural community development: Insights from China and India." <i>Social Network Analysis and Mining</i>, 9(1), 1-12. Sharma, K., & Ghosh, D. (2022). "Government-NGO partnerships in rural development: A study of SHGs in Odisha." <i>Development Policy Review</i>, 40(4), 539-556. Sahu, P., & Mishra, S. (2020). "Rural women empowerment in Odisha: The impact of SHGs." <i>Journal of Rural Development</i>, 39(3), 431-444.</p>	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there is room for improvement in terms of precision, conciseness, and flow. With minor revisions, the article can meet the standards expected for academic writing in the field.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the manuscript is well-written and offers important insights, but minor revisions are needed to enhance its clarity, methodology, and practical implications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bharath Samishetti
Department, University & Country	Balaji Institute of Management Sciences, India