

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129708
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in bread wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) genotypes for yield and its attributing traits <i>aestivum</i> L.</b>
Type of the Article	Scientific

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The results of the studies show and prove significant genetic variability of breeding traits among the studied wheat genotypes: Days to 50% Flowering (DF), Days to Maturity (DM), Plant Height (PH), and Grain Yield per Plant (GYP). The analysis of the phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficient gave a scientific idea of the direct and indirect influence of plant breeding traits on the grain yield per plant. The author established a strong positive correlation between the breeding traits - Days to 50% Flowering (DF), natural weight of grain and the yield of wheat genotypes. These traits had a strong positive direct effect on wheat yield. The using of the effects of the studied breeding traits significantly improves the efficiency of breeding work to creating the wheat varieties with specified parameters and properties.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes. The title of the article reflects its scientific content.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of the article is exhaustive. The abstract fully discloses the results of the scientific research. If desired, the author can indicate in the abstract the numerical values of positive relationships between the selection traits of plants during analysis their genotypic and phenotypic correlations. This would further increase the significance of the abstract and attract more attention to the article.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	A certain number of references in this article are quite recent. A certain number of references were published earlier. I believe that this does not detract from the merits of the article. Scientific references from previous years have not lost their scientific interest and are of practical importance and relevance in science today. The author's references to articles published in previous years show the author's broad interest in conducting research, show his broad scientific horizons. The author does not limit himself to research conducted today. The author thinks deeply. This is very good.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The quality of the English language of the article is suitable for scientific communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I have no additional/general comments on the article or on the material presented in the article.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aksyonov Igor Victorovich
Department, University & Country	Dmytro Motorny Tavria State Agrotechnological University, Ukraine