

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129641
Title of the Manuscript:	Organic seed production technique with different date of sowing in black cumin ( <i>Nigella sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript makes a significant contribution to the scientific community by advancing knowledge on sustainable agricultural practices for black cumin ( <i>Nigella sativa</i> L.), a valuable medicinal crop. The findings are particularly relevant in meeting the growing demand for organic produce, aligning with global trends towards health-conscious consumption and sustainable agriculture. By addressing gaps in research, especially in South India, this work supports regional farmers in adopting economically and environmentally sustainable practices, ultimately aiding in food security and agro-economic development.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	clear and descriptive	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is detailed and provides an overview of the study's key findings.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript appears scientifically correct, as it is based on well-defined experiments, robust data analysis, and valid conclusions supported by the results. The methodology is sound, and the findings align with the stated objectives.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The manuscript includes a good range of references that are relevant to the study's focus, covering topics such as black cumin cultivation, organic fertilizers, and sustainable agricultural practices. However, some of the references cited are relatively dated (e.g., Roy and Singh, 2006; Paarakh, 2010; Darzi et al., 2007). While these provide foundational context, incorporating more recent studies would enhance the manuscript's contemporary relevance.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality of the manuscript is generally clear and understandable, but it could benefit from some improvements to meet the standards of scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b><u>Name:</u></b>	<b>Zaheen Hasan</b>
<b><u>Department, University &amp; Country</u></b>	<b>M.L.K.(P.G.) College, India</b>