

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129641
Title of the Manuscript:	Organic seed production technique with different date of sowing in black cumin (<i>Nigella sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is valuable and well-prepared, contributing significantly to the literature on organic seed production in black cumin. It addresses the rising demand for organic farming practices and sustainable agriculture, a pertinent topic. The manuscript appears scientifically accurate based on the experimental setup, data collection methods, and statistical analysis. The methodology is clearly outlined, and the results align logically with the stated objectives.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	While informative, the abstract could be more concise. Consider summarizing the results in fewer sentences while maintaining key findings.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically accurate based on the experimental setup, data collection methods, and statistical analysis. The methodology is clearly outlined, and the results align logically with the stated objectives. The interpretation of findings is supported by evidence and corroborated with references to prior studies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cited are generally relevant and support the claims made in the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction is well-detailed, but it can be streamlined to avoid repetition of general information (e.g., the economic importance of seed spices). Focus more on black cumin and the research gap. Add more recent studies to bolster the importance of the topic. 2. Provide additional details about the experimental setup, such as the size of plots and replication details, for reproducibility. Consider adding visuals (e.g., experimental design layout) for better understanding. 3. While data is presented systematically, the discussion could benefit from deeper comparisons with existing literature. Highlight how your findings align or differ from previous studies. Visual aids like bar graphs or line charts can effectively present trends observed in Tables 1–5. This can enhance readability and engagement. The statistical interpretation is adequate, but consider including effect sizes to provide more depth to the analysis. 4. Maintain uniform formatting (e.g., "N equivalent" is sometimes italicized and sometimes not). A few sentences are lengthy and could be split for readability. For instance, the sentence starting with "It might be attributed to better growth and development..." can be rephrased for clarity. Fix minor typos, e.g., "INRODUCTION" should be "INTRODUCTION." 5. Summarize the practical implications of your findings more explicitly for farmers and policymakers. Also mention the drawbacks of this work. 6. Consider using figures to illustrate differences in yield parameters across treatments. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Redwanul Islam</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Bangladesh</p>