

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_129627
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Soybean Cultivation Strategies in the NIK Region: Unravelling Climate and Soil Dynamics
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into sustainable soybean cultivation by analyzing the interplay of climate and soil dynamics in the NIK region. It offers region-specific strategies that can enhance crop productivity while promoting ecological balance, contributing to global efforts in sustainable agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is ok.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is compact and good. Kindly mention the justification of the study in single line.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>Why only CROPWAT 8.0 model is used for the study? Justify.</p> <p>Add more explanation about the choice of the RCP 6.0 scenario for future climate projections. Briefly discuss why this scenario was preferred over others.</p> <p>Explicitly state the limitations of the study (e.g., reliance on specific climate models) and suggest areas for future research, such as testing other crops or regions.</p> <p>Clearly labeling key tables and figures in the text for better reference (e.g., Table 5 for yield reductions).</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add reference Jangre et al 2022.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Regarding the english quality of the article, the paper seems to be well structured. The language is clear and writing is coherent, which is good for scholarly communication. However minor grammatical mistakes must be improvised. Kindly proof read and correct the mistakes.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nilima Jangre
Department, University & Country	SVCAET & RS, IGKV, India