

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International. |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_129960 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effectiveness of Drone-Assisted Thiamethoxam 12.6% + Lambda-Cyhalothrin 9.5% ZC Against Pink Bollworm (<i>Pectinophora gossypiella</i>) in Cotton |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It is important for the scientific community. Studied efficacy of insecticides for pink boll worm management is the important for sustainable pest management. Research findings will be valuable for effective insect pest management. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes. It is appropriate to the study topic. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes. It is appropriate for the research findings. Studied efficacy of insecticides for pink boll worm management in cotton with drone assisted is the important for sustainable pest management. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes. Subsections are appropriate. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It is following scientific style of writing for research finding | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References are sufficient and supporting for research findings. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Not yet | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The English language quality of the article may be improved as suitable for scholarly communications. | |
| Optional/General comments | This original research article is recommended for acceptance. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Gyan Prakash Morya |
| Department, University & Country | Vital Biotech Research & Training Institute, India |