

### Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Archives of Current Research International                       |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ACRI_129776   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EFFECT OF MEMBRANE FOULING ON CLARIFICATION OF POMEGRANATE JUICE |
| Type of the Article      | Research   |

#### PART 1: Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript investigates the coefficient of determination of in membrane processing. This is an important parameter but has been thoroughly investigated before by many researchers.   |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)   | No, the title is very common and does not reflect the details of the research, a more comprehensive title addressing the investigated parameters would have been appropriate  |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.      | The Abstract is weak and does not show the details on the research question. The Abstract needs to have more explanation of the results obtained. In its current view the Abstract is very poor.  |   |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.  | The Introduction part is very general and does not show the importance of the subject. The Materials and methods part needs more elaboration. Conclusion section is very weak and does not summarise the work presented at all and HAS to be re-written properly. |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.                              | This is one of the major weak points in the manuscript. The references number is very few. Some reference very old (1956!!!) and the latest reference is over 10 years old!!!!  |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | More English language editing is required as plenty of grammatical mistakes are there   |   |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments   |   |   |

#### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Ihab Shigidi</b>                         |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Kind Khalid University, Saudi Arabia</b> |