

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_129084
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF LABOR INDUCTION BY ORAL VERSUS VAGINAL MISOPROSTOL: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED CLINICAL TRIAL
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a good topic for research , it will improve induction of labour rate and indirectly help in reducing the caesarean section rate and maternal and perinatal mortality .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate for the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is written well in terms of content but there is a need to improve the making of the sentences. Like – Data collection was prospective. The information given about methodology should be more specific and concise. In the result : labour was induced after sentence is wrong , it should be like labour was set in after.....	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, scientifically it is correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is not clear from the manuscript that why induction was done . (without medical or obstetric indication of labor). 58 women were under the age of 20yrs (it may be 1yrto 20yr) , please specify the minimum age. In India the age of marriage is 18 yrs by the government. There is a Signiant difference in Caesarean rate and meconium rate between the two group about double which is not explained. It is good to mention bishops score at which induction was done and the indication for caesarean.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivani Singh
Department, University & Country	Hind Institute of Medical Sciences, India