

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_129084
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF LABOR INDUCTION BY ORAL VERSUS VAGINAL MISOPROSTOL: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED CLINICAL TRIAL
Type of the Article	Original article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is an RCT, and the results could be very scientific. Two routes of administration of MISOPROSTOL and its efficacy checking it truly throws lights to the existing knowledge. The study can bring about an approach in the labour and delivery management in hospitals.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is alright	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is too marrow, methodology part could be more elaborative.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript lacks a lot of scientific content. There is a very brief description only authors have included in methodology. Selection of samples, sampling frame, randomization process and blinding have not been included.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are marginally adequate, however, as this medicine is produced in India, more recent clinical trials could be cited from that country, if studies are available. Systematic reviews can be included in the references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fairly well written	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors have not included a section on limitations and generalizability of this research. There should be a comment on the ethical issue of this modality of treatment in all countries.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Biju S.
Department, University & Country	Manipal Academy of Higher Education, India