Original Research Article

QUANTIFICATION OF MICROBIAL BIOMASSCARBON AND NITROGENIN LEAF LITTER AMENDED SOIL IN NORTHERN NIGERIA

Abstract

An incubation study was carried out to quantify the microbial biomass dynamics in soil using different leaf litter amendments in 119 days laboratory study. The treatment consisted of five sources of leaf litter of African mahogany (*Khaya senegalensis*), Mango (*Mangifera indica*), Beech wood(*Gmelina arborea*), and Red river gum (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) and mixed and this was laid in a Completely Randomized Design with three repetitions. Leaf litters were analyzed for chemical compositions (Organic carbon, nitrogen, sulphur, potassium, calcium, sodium, magnesium, total soluble polyphenols and lignin content analysis). The litter of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* recorded the highest value of 33.3 in C: N while *Gmelina arborea* had the least value of 20.6. Soil microbial biomass carbon (SMBC) and nitrogen (SMBN) were determined using the chloroform fumigation incubation (FI) method. There were significant differences ($p<0.05$) across the leaf litter types and weeks on soil microbial carbon and nitrogen parameters. *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* amended soilhad the highest MBC (122.85 mg kg^{-1}) which differs significantly ($p<0.05$) from mixed leaf litter, control, *Gmelina arborea, Khaya senegalensis* and *Mangifera indica. Khaya senegalensis*amended soiland *Mangifera indica*amended soil had significantly ($p<0.05$) the best microbial biomass nitrogen (13.90 mg kg^{-1} and 13.25mg kg^{-1}) with control being the least. *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* amended soil had significantly ($p<0.05$) the highest (MB) C: N, followed by *Mangifera indica, Gmelina arborea, mix*ed and control. From this study *Mangifera indica, Khaya senegalensis* and mixed has higher propensity for microbial N. This study also shows higher carbon activity in the litter of Eucalyptus camaldulensis.

Key words: Carbon biomass, Nitrogen biomass, Leaf litter, Amendments, Chloroform fumigation

INTRODUCTION

Soil microbial biomass is the living portion of the soil organic matter, excluding plant roots and soil animals larger than 5×10^{-3} μm^3 (Dalal, 1998). The soil microbial biomass generally comprises approximately 2% of the total organic matter in soil and it may be easily dismissed as of minor importance in the soil (Jenkinson and Ladd, 1981).

Soil microbial biomass parameters give useful information about the restoration degree and quality of contaminated soils (Clemente *et al*., 2007). Anderson *et al.* (2017) argued that microorganisms play a leading role in soil development and preservation. Emmerling *et al.* (2001) reported that microbial biomass carbon and microbial biomass nitrogen turnover rapidly and reflect changes in management practices long before changes in soil organic carbon and total nitrogen are detectable. This leads to the potential of soil microbial biomass to serve as a soil quality indicator. Usually, a close relationship exists between the quantity and quality of the soil organic substance and the quantity and metabolic activity of the microorganisms (Emmerling *et al*., 2001).

Akratos*et al.* (2017) reported that microorganism needs a good balance of carbon and nitrogen ratio (ranging from 25 to 30). However, in determining microbial activity both the turnover rate and the size of the microbial biomass are pertinent. Depending on climatic and other variables, turnover rates of microbial biomass C (C_{mic}) usually range from 0.5 to 5 years, as compared to >20 years for the bulk of soil organic carbon (Dalal, 1998). The size of the living community in the soil, the microbial biomass, is generally positively related to the SOM level; rarely is C_{mic} less than 1% or more than 5% of the total soil organic carbon(Carter, 2002; Dalal, 1998). Increases in soil organic matter are usually associated with similar increases in microbial biomass, because the SOM provides the principal substrates for the microorganisms. Soil microbial biomass also as a sizeable reservoir for plant nutrients in soil. A part of the microbial population used to die regularly due to the changes of the environmental conditions (Dalal, 1998). These dead cells can be easily decomposed and mineralized by the microorganisms that survived and these can contribute a considerable amount of nutrients for the growing plants (Jenkinson and Ladd, 1981).

Leaf litter makes up about 70% of the above-ground forest litter. Leaf litter currently dominates 72% of the research on litter in forest ecosystems (Jia et al., 2018; Wymore et al., 2018; Nicolas et al., 2019).Leaf litter in forest ecosystem serves as a rich source of nutrients for microorganisms through decomposition process, and is crucial for maintaining soil fertility, promoting the regular biological cycle, and maintaining the nutrient balance in forest ecosystems (Jiang et al., 2013), all of which have long been of great concerned to researchers (Tan et al., 2020). This study estimates the microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen of soil amended with different leaf litter using the chloroform fumigation method.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Site

Thelaboratory incubation experiment was conducted on soil taken from Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Kaduna State, Northern Guinea Savannah zone of Nigeria field (IAR plot R14). The experimental area (Samaru) has a geo reference of latitude11^0 10' 0" N and longitude 7^0 37' 60"E and an altitude of 688 m above sea level (Goggle earth, 2023).

Collection and Processing of Leaf Samples

Fall leaves of African mahogany (*Khaya senegalensis),* Mango (*Mangifera indica*), Beech wood (*Gmelina arborea*), and River red gum (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) were picked from the selected tree species. The collected leaf litter samples were cleaned and all sediments and dirt particles were removed by using a soft brush with running tap water followed by final rinsing in distilled water. Each sample was air-dried under shade at the Department of Soil Science Laboratory.

Chemical Analysis of Leaf Litter

Air dried leaf litter samples were ground in mortar and sieved through a 1mm mesh size sieve. The fine powder was used for the estimation of C, N, P, K, S, Ca, Mg, lignin and total soluble polyphenols. The standard procedures that were adopted for the chemical analysis are presented below.

i. Total carbonwas determined by igniting the samples at 550 °C using the Walkey Black method as reviewed by (Okalebo*et al*., 2002).
ii. Total nitrogencontent in fresh leaf litter was determined by digesting 0.1 g of samples in 5 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid using digestion mixture (sodium sulphate: copper sulphate in 10:4 ratio) and nitrogen in the digest was determined by Kjeldhal's method as reviewed by Saez-Plaza *et al*., (2013).
iii. Total phosphorusapproximately 0.2g of the powdered leaf sample was digested in tri-acid mixture (nitric acid: perchloric acid: sulphuric acid in 1:1:3 ratio) and the digest was made up to 100 ml. A known quantity of aliquot was taken to determine the phosphorus content by following chlorostannus reduced molybdophosphoric blue colour method in sulphuric acid system (Bray and Kurtz, 1945) and the colour intensity was read at 660 nm in UVspectrophotometer.

iv. Total basic cations calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), potassium (K), and sodium (Na) were determined using flame photometry and Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) as appropriate after wet digestion (Anderson *et al*., 2017).

v. Sulphurwas determined turbidimetrically using spectrophotometer to read for absorbance at wavelength of 430nm. (Tabatabai and Bremmer, 1970)

vi. Total polyphenol was determined using the Follin-Ciocalteu's method (Moyer *et al*., 2002).

vii. Lignin contentdetermined through acid detergent fibre (ADF) via Ankom technology as described by Okalebo*et al*. (2002) in the Biochemical laboratory of the Department of Animal Science, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

Soil Sampling for Laboratory Incubation

The soil was initially characterized in order to assess its fertility status before the application of leaf litter.Soil samples were collected from a depth 0 – 15 cm at random from different sampling point using shovel. The soil samples from the selected points were thoroughly mixed to get a composite sample. The soil was then air-dried and sieved through a 2mm sieve. A subsample was taken and used for the determination of the following physical and chemical characteristics.

i. Particle size distribution using hydrometer method (Gee and Bauder, 1986).

ii. Soil pH in water and $CaCl_2$ were determined in ratio 2.5: 1 water: soil by pH meter.

iii. Total N by micro-Kjeldahl procedure.

iv. Soil available P using Bray No. 1 as described by Kuo (1996).

v. Organic carbon by modified Walkley-Black method as described by Okalebo*et al.* (2002).

vi. Exchangeable bases extraction was done with 1 *N* ammonium acetate pH 7.0. K and Na were determined by flame photometry, while Mg and Ca were determined using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) (Anderson *et al.*, 2017).

vii. Exchangeable acidity was determined by the KCl extraction method and titrated with sodium hydroxide (NaOH) as laid out by Juo (1979).

viii. Electrical conductivity in dS m^{-1} was determined using the saturated paste extract as described by Okalebo*et al*. (2002) while categorization as saline or non-saline was done following Rhoades (1996) classification.

Laboratory Incubation

The soil was pre incubated for one week at room temperature at 50% water-holding capacity (WHC), this is the water content that maximizes microbial respiration (Rinkes *et al*., 2013). A total of 119 days laboratory incubation was established in a 946 ml canning jar. The pre incubated soil was mixed thoroughly with each of the sieved litter material to homogenize the soil-litter mixture at the rate of one hectare to 5000kg of litter (Mohammed, 2013). The jars were incubated at room temperature and left loosely covered with lid, which will minimize water lose but allow gas exchange. Jars were weighed initially and deionized water was added on a weekly basis to replace water lost to evaporation. The laboratory incubation was repeated three times and sampling was done at 0, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 42, 56, 84 and 119 days of incubation. Samples were analyzed for microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen for each repetition.

Determination of Microbial Biomass Carbon and Nitrogen

The microbial biomass carbon (SMBC) and nitrogen (SMBN) was determined using the chloroform fumigation incubation (FI) method as described by Okalebo*et al*., (2002).

Prepared soil samples of 15 g were weighed in two replicate (fumigated and unfumigated) and were arranged in the desiccators, 25 ml of purified chloroform in a beaker was placed at the center of the desiccator for the fumigated samples. The lid of the desiccators was closed tightly and was incubated for 72 hours at ambient room temperature; the same was done for the unfumigated samples. Then 50 ml of 0.5 M K_2SO_4 was added to the samples then placed on a mechanical shaker and shaken for 30 minutes at highest revolution. The solution was then filtered using filter paper to get the filtrate. The quantity of CO_2 evolve was determined by titrating the NaOH with standard 1.0M HCl. The SMBC was estimated by the equation,

$$SMBC = [(CO_2\text{-}C)\ \text{fumigated} - (CO_2\text{-}C)\ \text{unfumigated}/K_c] \quad (1)$$

To estimate the SMBN, 1g portion of the $CHCl_3$ fumigated soil was extracted using 50ml of 0.5 M K_2SO_4. The soil extract was analyzed for total N by the Kjeldahl method. The SMBN was calculated by the equation

SMBN = [(NH_4-N) fumigated- (NH_4-N) unfumigated/ K_N] (2)

Experimental design

The experimental layout was a Completely Randomized Design with six treatment and three replicates. The treatment information consists of the followings:

Treatment 1: Soil control

Treatment 2: *Khaya senegalensis*

Treatment 3: *Mangifera indica*

Treatment 4: *Gmelina arborea*

Treatment 5: *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*

Treatment 6: Mixed, 25% of each of (*Khaya senegalensis* + *Mangifera indica* + *Gmelina arborea* + *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*).

The treatment effect was considered as significant at $P < 0.05$. This was determined based on the F tests produced when fitting the analysis of variance. All analyses were carried out using J.MP 13 Pro.

Results and Discussions

Chemical properties of soil

The soil has a bulk density of 1.3Mg m^3 and moisture content of 0.15 g g^{-1}. The texture of the soil was loam. Organic carbon content and nitrogen content were moderate, exchangeable acidity (0.6 cmol kg^{-1}) was low in the soil. The pH of the soil was 7.35 in H_2O and 6.66 in $CaCl_2$. Most soil processes, including nutrient availability and microbial activity are favoured by soil pH range of 5.5-8 (Bünemann et al., 2018). The neutral pH content in the soil used for this study is an indication that activities of microbial organisms will increase and higher nutrient availability. Calcium, magnesium, potassium and sodium were (0.18 cmol kg^{-1}), (0.14 cmol kg^{-1}), (0.11 cmol kg^{-1}), (0.42 cmol kg^{-1}) respectively. The soil's effective cation exchange capacity was (1.45 cmol $kg^{-}1$). The relatively low

values of exchangeable cations are ascribed to soil nutrient losses through anthropogenic activities such as burning, cultivation, harvesting or climatic factors leading to leaching that can prompt mobilization and immobilization of these cations (Frimpong *et al.,* 2014) The electrical conductivity was 0.6 dSm^{-1}.The low electrical conductivity of the soil is said to improve soil micro-organisms activities, residue decomposition, nitrification and de-nitrification in the soils (USDA, 2020).

Chemical Composition of Leaf Litters

The chemical composition of the leaf litters is shown in Table 1. The litter of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* had the highest concentration of organic carbon, followed by mixed litter, *Khaya senegalensis*, *Gmelina arborea* while *Mangifera indica* recorded the least concentration of organic carbon in the leaf litters. Higher carbon content as observed in Eucalyptus species connotes higher energy source for microbial population which agrees with the report of Castro-Diez *et al.* (2011). The litter of *Gmelina arborea* recorded the highest total nitrogen concentration while *Khaya senegalensis* had the least nitrogen concentration in the leaf litters. The litter of *Gmelina arborea* showed the highest concentration of total phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, sodium in the leaf litters. The litter of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* recorded the highest value of 33.3 in C: N while *Gmelina arborea* had the least value of 20.6. The C/N ratio is a distinguishing characteristic of organic substrates. The high C to N ratio (33.3) observed in *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in this study imply poor rates of decomposition and mineralization, thus resulting in inefficient in cycling macronutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus Ruwanza*et al*., (2014), while the C to N ratio of *Mangifera indica* and mixed litters connotes tendencies of these litters to decompose more quickly due to leaching of readily soluble substances and non-lignified carbohydrates (Kaba, 2017; Naik *et al.,* 2018). The C to N ratios (20.6 -33.3) reported in this study for the leaf litters species are similar to the ratio of 31.6 ± 2.7 reported for 30-year-old cocoa systems but lower than the 42.9 ± 1.5 reported for 15-year-old cocoa systems by Dawoe*et al*. (2010) which suggest that microbial decomposition of leaf litters was partly regulated by leaf litter chemistry or quality and age of tree.

The litter of *Mangifera indica* had the highest concentration of total sulphur, followed by mixed while *Khaya senegalensis* recorded the least values for total sulphur though *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Gmelina arborea* had similar values of total sulphur in the leaf litters. Lignin concentration was lowest in the litter of *Gmelina arborea* while combined leaf litters recorded the highest concentrations. Totalpolyphenol content was highest in *Mangifera indica* and lowest in *Eucalyptus camaldulensis.*

Magnesium and calcium composition of leaf litter were 0.5 -0.6 g kg^{-1} and 1.7 g kg^{-1} values in this study which was below the reported values of Li *et al*. (2020) for subtropical evergreen broadleaf forests (7.1–8.3 g kg^{-1}(Ca); 2.0–2.3 g kg^{-1}(Mg)). The large variation in leaf litter nutrient concentrations is related to climatic differences and soil nutrient status. The reported range of 7.0 to 10.2g kg^{-1} for sulphur was higher than the estimate of Wood *et al.* (2006) in Costa rica for Inceptosols (1.37 – 2.23g kg^{-1}), Ultisols plateau (1.17 – 2.51g kg^{-1}) and Ultisol slope (1.37 – 2.27g kg^{-1}). The variations in nutrients concentration in leaf litters type might be connected with soil and climatic conditions (particularly temperature and humidity), soil nutrient content and availability, age of vegetation or plantation, and management types (Kaba 2017; Naik *et al.,* 2018).

The higher level of recalcitrant compounds of lignin and polyphenols in this study agrees with the observation of Dawoe*et al.* (2010) in Ghana who reported higher levels of recalcitrant compounds (lignin and polyphenols) in cocoa leaves than shade tree leaves. Blanco and Aguilar (2015) noted that litter layer has a great influence on the main soil erodibility factor which suggest that litter is the most important soil protection agent for erosion control, therefore litters of *Khaya senegalensis* and *Mangifera indica* in this study with higher lignin and polyphenol can serve as a major source of erosion control. Moreover, the litter layer will also serve as a major source of soil organic matter when decomposed which strongly influences soil structure, increase soil stability and porosity while increasing the ability of water to infiltrate into the soil and finally controlling the soil erosion rates (Singh *et al.*, 2014, Certini*et al.*, 2015, Novara *et al*., 2015).

Microbial Biomass Dynamics

The effect of leaf litters and incubation days on soil microbial carbon and nitrogen are shown in Table 2 and 3. There were significant differences ($p<0.05$) across the leaf litter types and weeks on soil microbial carbon and nitrogen parameters. *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* had the highest MBC (122.85 mg kg^{-1}) which differs significantly ($p<0.05$) from mixed leaf litter, control, *Gmelina arborea, Khaya senegalensis* and *Mangifera indica*possibly due to higher carbon content of*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*liter and microbial immobilization of nutrients, which encouraged enrichment of the microbial biomass carbon and connotes sustainability of organic matter for soil restoration. High MBC indicated high efficiency of carbon utilization and increase in ecosystem maturity and vice versa (Kaleem *et al.,* 2015). The lower MBC content observed in *Mangifera indica*, Mixed, *Gmelina arborea*, *Khaya senegalensis*is possibly due to low carbon content in these litters and activities of the inhibitory compounds such as lignin and

polyphenols.The soil MBC in this study were comparable to the estimate of 35.8 mg kg^{-1} in *Azadirachta*and 65.4 mg kg^{-1} for *Centrosema*in Ghana as reported by Richard *et al*. (2018) though higher range was reported for some selected organic residues (*Leuceana*, 594.9 – 686.3 mg kg^{-1}), (*Gliricida*, 224.8-753.1 mg kg^{-1}) and (*Peuraria*, 668.8-971.6 mg kg^{-1}). The reasons for differences in values might be attributed to environmental conditions (tropical vs temperate climate, high pH values, differences in soils and management practices.

Maximizing MBN in the soil offers a potential approach to improving the production efficiency of soil*Khaya senegalensis* and *Mangifera indica* had significantly ($p<0.05$) the best microbial biomass nitrogen (13.90 mg kg^{-1} and 13.25mg kg^{-1}) with control being the least which is an indication that *Khaya senegalensis* and *Mangifera indica* residues application will have tendencies to improve the efficiency of N use in the soil. In this study, significant differences were observed

Table 1: Chemical composition of leaf litter (g kg^{-1})

Properties	*Khaya Senegalensis*	*Mangifera indica*	*Gmelina arborea*	*Eucalyptus Camaldulensis*	Mixed
Organic Carbon	333.2	306.1	323.4	409	341.4
Total Nitrogen	10.5	12.2	15.7	12.3	12.8
Total Phosphorus	1.7	1.7	2.0	1.4	1.7
Calcium	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.4
Magnesium	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6
Potassium	3.0	3.7	4.8	4.5	4.0
Sodium	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2
C : N	31.7	25.1	20.6	33.3	26.8
Total Sulphur	7.0	10.2	8.6	8.6	9.2
Total Polyphenol	111.4	130.9	86.6	77.5	101.4
Lignin	150.1	180	92.7	122.1	136.7

Table 2: Effect of leaf litters on soil microbial carbon and nitrogen

Treatment	MBC (mg/kg)	MBN (mg/kg)	(MB) C: N
Control	68.67[b]	-0.99[e]	-42.97[e]
Khaya senegalensis	39.74[d]	13.90[a]	2.86[f]
Mangifera indica	21.67[e]	13.25[a]	1.64[b]
Gmelina arborea	43.36[c]	5.19[d]	8.35[c]
Eucalyptus camaldulensis	122.85[a]	8.06[b]	14.00[a]
Mixed	68.65[b]	7.49[c]	9.17[d]
LOS	***	***	***
SE±	2.350	0.520	0.452

[bcdefg]Means across different column differs significantly ($p<0.05$), LOS = Level of significance, SE± = Standard error of mean. MBC= microbial biomass carbon, MBN= Microbial biomass nitrogen, SOC= soil organic carbon, TN= total nitrogen.

Table 3: effect of *incubation days on soil microbial carbon and nitrogen*

Days of incubation	MBC (mg/kg)	MBN (mg/kg)	(MB) C: N
0	37.94[f]	6.91[d]	5.49[b]
3	54.20[e]	5.19[e]	10.44[c]
7	27.09[g]	4.32[f]	6.27[d]
14	75.88[b]	7.78[c]	9.75[c]
28	70.46[c]	15.67[a]	4.49[b]

42	16.25[h]	16.05[a]	1.01[e]
56	65.04[d]	9.51[b]	6.84[a]
84	135.49[a]	1.48[h]	91.55[g]
119	65.03[d]	3.46[g]	18.79[f]
LOS	***	***	***
SE±	0.100	0.250	0.400
Treatment x days	***	***	***

[bcdefg]Means across different column differs significantly ($p<0.05$), LOS = Level of significance, SE± = Standard error of mean. MBC= microbial biomass carbon, MBN= Microbial biomass nitrogen, SOC= soil organic carbon, TN= total nitrogen.

among the leaf litter types for (MB) C: N in which *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* had significantly ($p<0.05$) the highest (MB) C: N followed by *Mangifera indica*, *Gmelina arborea, mix*ed and control. This result is consistent with the viewpoint that microbes allocate their resources optimally towards acquiring the most limiting resource which agrees with the report of Xiojung*et al.* (2013) in Southwestern China. The variations in the microbial element ratio observed among the leaf litters in this study might be connected to the higher labile fractions observed from leaf litters due to the activities of inhibitory compounds (lignin and polyphenols). The amount of labile carbon is of particular importance as this provides a readily available carbon energy source for microbial decomposition. Soils with more labile C tend to have a higher microbial biomass.

The observed significant interaction between leaf litter types and week of incubations on microbial carbon and nitrogen dynamics presupposes varying nutrient recoveries from the leaf residues in the soil.

Conclusion

Microbial biomass dynamics show the micro-changes occurring in the soilin the short term. Result indicated that microbial concentration depended on the organic matter availability to microbial activity. From this study *Mangifera indica, Khaya senegalensis* and mixed has higher propensity for microbial N. This study also shows higher carbon activity in the litter of Eucalyptus camaldulensis. The result of this study provides a basis for microbial decomposition and further studies are needed to access microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen contributions to crop productivity using these leaf litters.

References

Akratos, C.S., Tekerlekopouloun, A.G., Vasiliadou, I.A. and Vayinas, D.V. (2017). Composting of olive mill waste for the production of soil amendments. *Journal of Chemistry*, 23(1) Pg161-182.

Anderson, C., Peterson, M. and Curtin, D. (2017). Base cations, KC and Ca2C, have contrasting effects on soil carbon, nitrogen and denitrification dynamics as pH rises. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 113: 99-107.

Blanco, R. and Aguilar, A. (2016). The erosion threshold for a sustainable agriculture in cultures of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) under conventional tillage and no-tillage in Northern Nicaragua. *Soil Use Manage*, 32: 368-380

Bray, R.H. and Kurtz, L. (1945). Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Science;* 59(1): 39-46.

Bünemann, E.K, Bongiorno, G., Bai, Z., Creamer, R.E., De Deyn, G. and de Goede, R. (2018). Soil quality – a critical review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry;* 120:105–125.

Carter, M.R. (2001). Soil Quality for Sustainable Land Management. Organic Matter and Aggregation Interaction That Maintain Soil Functions. *Agronomy Journal*; 94:38-47.

Castro-Díez P., Fierro-Brunnenmeister N., González-Muñoz, N. and Gallardo, A. (2011). Effects of exotic and native tree leaf litter on soil properties of two contrasting sites in the Iberian Peninsula. *Plant and Soil;* 350: 179–191.

Certini, G., Vestgarden, L.S., Forte, C., Tau, T. and Strand, L. (2015). Litter decomposition rate and soil organic matter quality in a patchwork heathland of southern Norway;*Soil.* 1,207–216.

Clemente, R. Paredes, C., and Bernal, M.P. (2007). A field experiment investigating the effect of olive husk and cow manure on heavy metal availability in a contaminated calcareous soil from Murcia (Spain). *Journal of Agricultural Ecosystem and Environment;* 118 (1); 319-326.

Dalal, R.C. (1998). Soil microbial biomass: What do the numbers really mean? *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture;* 38: 649-665.

Dawoe, E.K., Isaac, M.E. and Quashie-Sam, J. (2010). Litterfall and litter nutrient dynamics under cocoa ecosystems in lowland humid Ghana. *Plant and Soil;* 330:55–64.

Emmerling, C., Udelhoven, T. andSchröder, D. (2001). Response of soil microbial biomass and activity to agricultural de-intensification over a 10year period. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33, 2105–2114.

Frimpong, K.A., Afrifa, E. K. A., Ampofo, E. A. and Kwakye, P. K. (2014). Plant litter turnover, soil chemical and physical properties in a Ghanaian gold-mined soil re-vegetated with Acacia species. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*; 4(5): 987 1005.

Gee, G.W. and Bauder, J.W. (1986). Particle size analysis. In Aklute Ed. Methods of soil analysis (2nd Ed.) No 9 ASA Inc. SSA. Inc. Madison, Washington, DC. Pp. 383-409.

Goggle Earth (2023). Goggle Earth Imagery

Jenkinson, D.S. and Ladd, J.N. (1981). Microbial biomass in soil: measurement and turnover. In: *Soil biochemistry*In: Paul, E.A., Ladd, J.N., (eds), vol. 5 pp. 415-471. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.

Jia, B. R., Xu, Z. Z., Zhou, G. S., and Yin, X. J. (2018). Statistical characteristics of forest litter fall in China. *Sci. China Life Sci.* 61, 358–360. doi: 10.1007/s11427-016-9143-x

Jiang, Y. F., Yin, X. Q., and Wang, F. B. (2013). The influence of litter mixing on decomposition and soil fauna assemblages in a Pinus koraiensis mixed broad-leaved forest of the Changbai Mountains, China. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 55, 28–39. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.11.004

Juo, A.S.R. (1979). Selected Methods for Soil and Plant Analysis. IITA Manual No.1

Kaba, J.S. (2017). Nitrogen nutrition of cocoa (Theobroma cacao L.) in intercropping systems with gliricidia (Gliricidiasepium (Jacq.) Kunth ex Walp.). PhD Thesis of The Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Faculty of Science and Technology, Bolzano, Italy.

Kaleem, A., Aziz, S., Iqtedar, M., Abdullah, R., Aftab, M., Rashid, F., Shakoori, F.R. and Naz, S. (2015). Investigating changes and effect of peroxide values in cooking oils subject to light and heat. FUUAST *Journal of Biology*; 5(2): 191-196

Kuo, S. (1996). Phosphorus. In Methods of Soil Analysis, part 3 – chemical methods. D. L. Sparks (Ed.). American Society Agronomy, Madison, WI, pp.869-919.

Li, Q., Zhang, M., Geng, Q., Jin, C., Zhu, J. and Ruan, H. (2020). The roles of initial litter traits in regulating litter decomposition: a "common plot" experiment in a subtropical evergreen broadleaf forest. *Plant and Soil*; 452: 207–216.

Mohammed, K. O. (2013). Mineralization of neem seed cake and effect on growth and nutrition of sorghum in a northern Guinea Savanna Alfisol. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, Department of Soil Science, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

Moyer, R. A., Hummer, K. E., Finn, C. E., Frei, B. and Wrolstad, R. E. (2002). Anthocyanins, phenolics, and antioxidant capacity in diverse small fruits: *Vaccinium*, *Rubus*, and *Ribes*. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*; 50 (3): 519–525.

Naik, S.K., Maurya, S., Mukherjee, D., Singh, A.K. and Bhatt, B.P. (2018) Rates of decomposition and nutrient mineralization of leaf litter from different orchards under hot and dry sub-humid climate. *Archive of Agronomy and Soil Science*; 64: 560-573.

Nicolas, B., Alexandre, C., Jacinthe, R., Steven, W. K., and David, R. (2019). Microsite conditions influence leaf litter decomposition in sugar maple bioclimatic domain of Quebec. *Biogeochemistry;* 145: 107–126.

Novara, A., Rühl, J., La Mantia, T., Gristina, L., La Bella, S. and Tuttolomondo, T. (2015). Litter contribution to soil organic carbon in the processes of agriculture abandon. *Solid Earth*, 6: 425–432.

Okalebo, J. R., Gathua, K. W. and Woomer, P. L. (2002). Laboratory methods of soil analysis: A working manual, second edition. TSBR-CIAT and SACRED Africa, Nairobi, Kenya

Rhoades, J.D. (1996) Salinity: Electrical Conductivity and total dissolved Solida.In: Sparks, D.L., Page, A.L., Helmke, P.A., Loeppert, R.H., Soltanpour, P.N., Tabatabai, M.A., Johnston, C.T. and Sumner, M.E., Eds., Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3, Soil Science Society of America and American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 417-435.

Richard, A.O, Dorothea, S.B.K, Yoshiharu, F., Elsie, S.A, Kwame, A.S and Yosei, O. (2018). Nitrogen Mineralization and Microbial Biomass Dynamics in Different Tropical Soils Amended with Contrasting Organic Resources. *Soil system*; 2: 63-69.

Rinkes, Z.L., Deforest, J., Grandy, S. and Moorhead, D.L. (2014). Interactions between leaf litter quality, particle size, and microbial community during the earliest stage of decay. *Biogeochemistry*; 1: 117-118.

Ruwanza, S., Gaertner, M., Esler, K. J., and Richardson, D. M. (2014). Allelopathic effects of invasive *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* on germination and early growth of four native species in the Western Cape, South Africa. Southern Forests: *Journal of Forest Science*; 1–15.

Saez-Plaza, Michalowski, T., Navas, M.J. and Asuero, A.G. (2013). An overview of the Kjeldahl method of nitrogen determination part 1. Early history, chemistry of the procedure, and titrimetric finish. *Critical Review in Analytical Chemistry;* 4: 43-45.

Singh, K., Trivedi, P., Singh, G., Singh, B. and Patra, D.D. (2014). Effect of different leaf litters on carbon, nitrogen and microbial activities of sodic soils. *Land Degradation Development;* 3: 207.-213.

Tabatabai, M.A. and Bremmer, J.M. (1970). A simple turbidimetric method of determining total sulphur in plant materials. *Agronomy Journal*; 62 (6): 805-806.

Tan, X., Megan, B., Machmuller, M., Francesca, C., and Shen, W. (2020). Shifts in fungal biomass and activities of hydrolase and oxidative enzymes explain different responses of litter decomposition to nitrogen addition. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 56, 423–438.

USDA. (2020). *Soil electrical conductivity: soil quality kits-guides for educators.* Natural resources conservation service. Accessed 30th April, 2021, from www.nrcs.usda.gov.

Wood, T.E., Lawrence, D. and Clark, A.D. (2006). Determinants of leaf litter nutrient cycling in a tropical rain forest: soil fertility versus topography. *Ecosystems;* 9:700–710.

Xiaojun, N., Zhang, J. and Su, Z. (2013). Dynamics of Soil Organic Carbon and Microbial Biomass Carbon in Relation to Water Erosion and Tillage Erosion. PloS one. 8. e64059. 10.1371/journal.pone.0064059.