

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129999
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study of Bioactive Molecules for Antidiabetic Properties: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community which can be used as a reference for researchers in the field of antidiabetes and also specifically research in cases of diabetes mellitus and its causes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract does not include the results of the articles reviewed and there are also no suggestions for readers and researchers regarding diabetes mellitus.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This manuscript is good for reference purposes, but in terms of methodology, the article review still does not meet the requirements for writing and presenting the results of the article review.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	references The sources used are up to date, but the number of references is still lacking considering that this is a review article manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dede Mahdiyah
Department, University & Country	Universitas Sari Mulia, Indonesia