

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_128716
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating the Complexities of Internship Expectations: A Thematic Analysis of Psychological Contract Breach in Clinical Psychology Supervision
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The lack of research in this area reinforces the importance of the article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Is comprehensive, allows an overview of the study carried out	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, however, the citations throughout the text are not correct, for example: Creswell and Miller [80] but it is [79] among others. You should check all the references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think so, however English is not my native language.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think the authors should or could explore in greater depth the implications of the results on the breakdown of the psychological contract and to what extent this breach influences student performance. They should explore the main limitations of the study and provide guidance for further research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Jacinta Pereira Dantas
Department, University & Country	Escola Superior de Enfermagem de Coimbra, Portugal