

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_128716
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating the Complexities of Internship Expectations: A Thematic Analysis of Psychological Contract Breach in Clinical Psychology Supervision
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the scientific community, as it adds to the body of knowledge by looking at supervisor – supervisee psychological contract, psychological contract formation, and psychological contract breach through Bordin's Model of Working Alliance, qualitatively.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest "A Thematic Analysis of Psychological Contract Breach in Clinical Psychology Supervision" would be appropriate title for the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract captures all the thematic areas it supposed to capture; Aims, Study design, Methodology, Data Analysis, and Results & Conclusion in a brief and concise write-up	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The write-up is scientific as the manuscript looks at Obligation of supervisee towards their supervisor, and the Expectation of supervisor towards their supervisee to form the psychological contract, and the breach of this psychological contract through a thematic analysis	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient but some of them are not recent. If the range can be kept in-between 2018 – 2024, it would be better.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To the best of my knowledge, yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Isaac Tweneboah Agyei
Department, University & Country	AAMUSTED, Ghana