

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_129836
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Role of Stakeholder Engagement in Driving Sustainability Practices within Circular Economy Models</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Literature Review</b>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript examines stakeholder engagement in promoting circular economy practices crucial for sustainability. It combines theory with case studies, providing actionable insights for researchers and practitioners. The paper underscores stakeholders' roles in implementing closed-loop systems and enhancing collaboration, informing policy development and future sustainability research within circular economic models.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title "The Role of Stakeholder Engagement in Driving Sustainability Practices within Circular Economy Models" effectively conveys the research focus but could benefit from increased brevity. Consider the following alternative formulations:  <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Enhancing Sustainability in Circular Economy Models through Stakeholder Engagement</li> <li>2. Stakeholder Collaboration for Sustainable Practices in Circular Economy Frameworks</li> <li>3. Leveraging Stakeholder Involvement to Promote Sustainability in Circular Economies</li> <li>4. Circular Economy Sustainability: The Influence of Stakeholder Participation</li> </ol>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is too lengthy and lacks key components such as the objective, method, findings, and originality statement. Suggestion Clarified Research Gap: Mention of the gap in empirical research on stakeholder engagement within circular economy practices. Methodology Clarification: More specific mention of the methods (qualitative interviews and case studies). Findings: Brief mention of how challenges were addressed. Refined Contributions: Emphasizing which industries could benefit from the research.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript lacks scientific rigor, as it fails to clearly identify the problem or research gap. Additionally, the methodology is not well-defined, and there is no explanation of how the qualitative interviews were conducted or which theories underpin the study. Furthermore, if the paper is based on a literature review, it does not cite relevant journals or research sources, making it difficult to assess its academic foundation. The writing style is inconsistent with established research conventions, and it appears to deviate from traditional research methods. There is a need to align the manuscript with standard academic practices, including a clear problem statement, robust methodological explanation, and appropriate theoretical framework.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references section also presents confusion, as some authors are cited multiple times for the same paper, leading to unnecessary repetition. Instead of citing the same author repeatedly, the manuscript could benefit from incorporating a broader range of literature to provide a more diverse and comprehensive overview of the topic. Citing additional relevant sources would strengthen the academic foundation of the paper and provide a more balanced representation of the existing research.	

### Review Form 3

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language of the manuscript requires improvement, and the author should consider seeking professional editing services to enhance clarity and readability. Additionally, it would be beneficial for the author to request the journal's format guidelines from the editor to ensure the manuscript aligns with the journal's specific requirements and formatting standards.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The manuscript lacks clarity in problem identification, methodology, and theoretical framework. The references are repetitive, and a broader range of literature should be cited. The language needs improvement, and the author should align the work with the journal's formatting guidelines.</b>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Asif Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bhayangkara University, Indonesia</b>