

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129897
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of arid fruits cultivation in Madhya Pradesh: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript makes an important contribution to the scientific community. Its findings can help fill gaps in current knowledge and offer useful ideas for fruit farming. The study uses a new approach, which strengthens its results, making it valuable for both researchers and those working in the field. The insights from this study could lead to further research and the development of better strategies or solutions in this area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes , The title of the article appears relevant to the content	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a good overview of the study; however, there is need of improvement to ensure it is both comprehensive and impactful.</p> <p>Highlight the most significant findings quantitatively or qualitatively. Avoid generic statements and focus on specific, impactful results.</p> <p>The contributions to the field are missing, add a sentence emphasizing how this study advances knowledge or practice in the relevant domain.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>All data presented in the manuscript should be validated and supported by proper citations. Cross-check the numerical values, and tables for accuracy.</p> <p>Table 1: 'Several agricultural export zones' does not include the source of citation. Please provide the appropriate source or reference for the data presented in the table.</p> <p>"In the second paragraph of the introduction, the citation formats are inconsistent, using both [10-12] and Nath et al., 2019. Similarly, in the section 'Importance of Horticultural Crops,' citations such as [17,18] to [19,20] are used, while the rest of the manuscript includes formats like Kawai et al., 1974. To maintain uniformity and adhere to the journal's guidelines, please revise the manuscript to use a single, consistent citation format throughout."</p> <p>"In the manuscript, there are inconsistencies in using 'et al.' and 'et al.,' as well as in italicizing 'et al.' in some places. To maintain uniformity and adhere to scholarly standards, please ensure a consistent format throughout the manuscript, following the journal's guidelines."</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references should include more recent studies, especially from the past 10 years, to reflect current advancements in the field.</p> <p>I noticed inconsistencies in the font style used in the references section. While some references are written in Times New Roman, others appear to be in Calibri.</p> <p>"Please ensure consistency throughout the references in a single format, as they are currently written inconsistently. Follow the journal's prescribed format to maintain uniformity."</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some sentences are overly complex, which might hinder readability. Simplifying these sentences and avoiding ambiguity will improve the flow and make the manuscript more accessible to a wider audience.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The discussion section should be expanded to give more detailed insights into what the results mean and how they impact the field. It would be helpful to explore the implications of the findings in more depth.</p> <p>Additionally, the conclusion should be stronger by focusing more on the main takeaways from the study. It should also highlight possible areas for future research to guide other scientists in building on this work.</p> <p>"In the second paragraph of the introduction, the citation formats are inconsistent, using both [10-12] and Nath et al., 2019. Similarly, in the section 2 'Importance of Horticultural Crops,' citations such as [17,18] to [19,20] are used, while the rest of the manuscript includes formats like Kawai et al., 1974. To maintain uniformity and adhere to the journal's guidelines, please revise the manuscript to use a single, consistent citation format throughout."</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sweeti Kumari
Department, University & Country	ICAR- Central Institute of Agricultural, India