

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_129821
Title of the Manuscript:	"COMPARISON BETWEEN RETROMANDIBULAR ANTEROPAROTID APPROACH, RETROMANDIBULAR TRANSPAROTID APPROACH AND HIGH SUBANGULOMANDIBULAR APPROACH FOR MANDIBULAR SUBCONDYLAR FRACTURE FIXATION : A PROSPECTIVE RANDOMISED STUDY"
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The management of condylar fractures is controversial owing to its presentations across various subsites.This dictates the choice of approach during ORIF.Since no single best approach is yet described in literature,the present study makes a good case in describing the best surgical approach for treatment of condylar fractures using ORIF</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The title is suitable</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Kindly add 2 lines of introduction. Consider omitting the phrase "need of study".Remove capitals.Limit the "results" sections to 1-2 sentences.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	It is scientifically correct.The overall tone of the manuscript could be adjusted to be more academically inclined.Kindly refer to the first reference article in this regard.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient	

### Review Form 3

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The manuscript may benefit from additional language editing. An overall Grammarly score of 90% and above could be targeted (Currently at 69%)	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gauri Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sharad Pawar Dental College, India</b>