

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130116
Title of the Manuscript:	Introduction of Castor hybrid in newer area as alternate crop in gap area
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant because it introduces hybrid castor as an alternative crop in gap areas, which addresses critical issues such as water scarcity, high labor costs, and soil health degradation. It provides a comprehensive analysis of the economic and environmental benefits of hybrid castor cultivation, including higher yields, reduced cost of cultivation, and improved soil health. Therefore, it is relevant in promoting agricultural diversification and resilience in water-stressed regions, contributing to the global discourse on sustainable agriculture and climate-smart practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is quite okay but it could be better by making it more specific by including the area considered in the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract summarizes the study's aim, methodology, results, and conclusion. However, it could be better if the specific statistical results, such as yield improvement percentages and economic data, can be added to it so as to provide a quick, rounded overview.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and include recent studies up to 2024.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emmanuel Ifeoluwa Ojekunle
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria