

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_129647
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic management of primary omental torsion-Two case report and review
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Omentum is a fold of peritoneum which is laden with fat and has rich lymphovascular permeation. It offers support to internal organs and also contributes to immunity of intraperitoneal environment. Often the omentum is extensively affected by any intraabdominal pathology like infections and malignancies. primary pathology in omentum is very rare like an omental cyst or other infections. This case report is an interesting primary omental pathology and contributes to the existing knowledge of primary omental pathologies and the possibility to consider omental gangrene as a differential diagnosis	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Change the title Rare cases of primary omental torsion with gangrene and management – case report	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Case1. – could have ruled out appendicitis histopathologically after performing an appendicectomy and sending for histopathological examination , giving benefit of doubt of appendicitis so that a second procedure for appendicitis could have been avoided in this young child. Substantiate more strongly why appendicectomy is not done which is the most common cause for omental involvement in a young boy	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P.A.Ramani
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College Vizianagaram Andhrapradesh, India