

### Review Form 3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJOPACS_130155   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Nanoparticles for Biomedical Science                            |
| Type of the Article      | Minireview Article  |

#### PART 1: Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It is important for Scientific community as This manuscript contains clear detail about the Nanoparticles and about Nanoparticles in biomedical research. If one is looking for a concise and clear detail about Nanoparticles this manuscript contains that detail. |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)   | Yes, it is suitable as it contain balanced description of Nanoparticles and Nanoparticles for biomedical science.  |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.      | The starting of the abstract is very clear and detailed oriented, it complies all the topic which are mentioned in the article.  |  |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.  | As mentioned it is a miniarticle, it contains concise information which are clear and detailed but as for Scientific manuscript I don't think it will fit  |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.                              | Yes, the references are sufficient   |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | Yes, the language or English quality of the article is effectively suitable for scholarly communication  |  |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments   |  |  |

#### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Esha Bhati</b>                    |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Uttaranchal University, India</b> |