

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_130043
Title of the Manuscript:	Vocabulary Learning Strategies, Language Exposure and Learning Language Beliefs: A Structural Equation Model on Students' Oral Skills
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses the gap in vocabulary acquisition which is an important aspect in oral skills. It also addresses important factors in learning language, namely the strategies, exposure and belief. By studying the effect of vocabulary on improving oral skills, it also offers valuable contributions to the teaching of vocabulary and oral skills. The findings are great help to practitioners, curriculum developers and instructional material developers as well as teacher training institutes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensible, explains concisely the essential elements. However, as a suggestion, author may not need to give the title for the elements, such as aims, study design. Instead, may be written as a paragraph.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The sample size is adequate to validate the results. The data, methodology and the conclusion are sound and consistent with the scientific principles. The statistical analysis is clearly shown, appropriate and supported with credible references. Credible and up-to-date references given. The conclusions given align with the presented data, suggesting that the integration of effective language strategies, language exposure and beliefs in language have important roles on students' oral proficiency level.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references given are adequate and recent, with the earliest being from 2018 and latest 2024. It covers the topic well and reflect latest developments in the area researched.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in the article is suitable for scholarly communication because it is clear, precise with formal tone and logical flow of ideas. Though the positioning of the tables could be improved for easy referencing, 3.1 could be followed by Table 1, then 3.2 Table 2 and 3.3 Table 3.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good Paper	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zainaba Binte Omar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Humanities and Behavioral Sciences, Singapore University of Social Sciences, Singapore</b>