

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_130043
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Vocabulary Learning Strategies, Language Exposure and Learning Language Beliefs: A Structural Equation Model on Students' Oral Skills</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript makes a substantial contribution to the understanding of factors influencing students' oral skills, a critical domain in language acquisition and effective communication. Through the application of structural equation modeling (SEM), the study offers a detailed analysis of the interrelationships among vocabulary learning strategies, language exposure, and language learning beliefs in shaping oral proficiency. The findings hold significant value for educators and curriculum developers, providing evidence-based insights to design strategies that enhance oral communication skills, which are indispensable for both academic achievement and professional success.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title, " <i>Vocabulary Learning Strategies, Language Exposure, and Learning Language Beliefs: A Structural Equation Model on Students' Oral Skills</i> ," is clear, specific, and reflective of the study's content. However, it could be simplified for better readability and broader appeal. A possible alternative is:  <b>"Exploring the Impact of Vocabulary Strategies, Language Exposure, and Beliefs on Students' Oral Skills: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach."</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the study's aims, methods, findings, and implications. However, some points could be refined for clarity and impact:  <b>Suggestions for Improvement:</b>  1. <b>Aims:</b> Specify how the study's findings could directly inform educational practices or policy. 2. <b>Findings:</b> Mention the exclusion of language exposure as a predictor in the abstract, as it is a key insight.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript employs robust methodologies, including Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), with validated instruments and stratified sampling ensuring representative data. The statistical analyses align with the objectives, and the conclusions are supported, confirming the manuscript's scientific validity.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references cited are relevant, diverse, and sufficiently recent, with many publications from the last five years (2020–2024). However, the study should:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include more studies on the impact of technology, such as mobile-assisted language learning, on oral skills</li> <li>• Incorporate recent global research on language exposure in diverse linguistic environments.</li> <li>• Review on both in-text citations and the reference list adhere to the correct IEEE format, as required by the journal.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is well-written and clear, with language that is generally appropriate for scholarly communication. However, there are occasional grammatical errors and instances of redundancy that could be improved for greater clarity and conciseness.</p> <p><b>Recommendations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct a final proofreading to address minor grammatical issues and ensure consistency.</li> <li>• Simplify complex sentences to enhance readability.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>To align the study with the journal's expectations and enhance its overall quality, the following suggestions should be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expand the interpretation of statistical results by providing practical implications to the findings.</li> <li>• Use cohesive devices within paragraphs to link sentences effectively. For example:  "Similarly" "However", "On the other hand", "Therefore," "Thus," "Consequently", "Additionally", "Moreover" or "Furthermore."</li> <li>• It is recommended that all sections of the manuscript be reviewed and formatted according to the Journal's template to ensure compliance with the journal's guidelines and standards.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Keo Vireak</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>National University of Battambang, Cambodia</b></p>