

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129983
Title of the Manuscript:	Lived Experiences of Teachers Implementing Reading Programs in Secondary Schools of Pudtol District
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript explores an important and under-researched area—teachers' lived experiences with reading programs in secondary schools. The focus on challenges, successes, and the impact on student literacy offers valuable insights for educational policy and practice. It highlights the practical issues of resource availability, student engagement, and parental involvement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and reflects the study's content accurately. No changes are necessary.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides good overview. Specific findings such as examples of challenges (e.g., lack of materials) and successes (e.g., improved student reading skills) should be included to give a clearer snapshot of the results.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. The study employs a robust qualitative methodology (phenomenological approach), with appropriate data collection and analysis techniques (semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally sufficient. You can also add few more recent references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and easy to understand. However, minor grammatical issues such as "This study aims to fill that gap by exploring..." in the abstract could be revised for better readability.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gulab Ali
Department, University & Country	University of Education, Pakistan