

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129929
Title of the Manuscript:	Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Neo-Humanism: A Framework for Inclusive and Value-Based Education
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript contributes significantly to contemporary educational discourse by providing a holistic and inclusive framework based on Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Neo-Humanism. Ethical and Spiritual Dimensions is designed to integrate intellectual, emotional and spiritual dimensions into education. Its emphasis on integrating intellectual, and emotional By exploring practical applications of Neo-Humanistic principles the manuscript offers a transformative vision for education that can inspire future research, policy innovation and pedagogical reforms.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable as it encapsulates the central theme of the manuscript clearly and concisely. However, an alternative title could be: "Neo-Humanism in Education: Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Vision for Inclusivity and Values."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and effectively outlines the paper's key themes, including the relevance of Neo-Humanism to inclusiveness, value-based education and holistic development. However, the following suggestions could be improved: 1. Briefly discuss the specific challenges in traditional education that Neo-Humanism addresses. 2. Highlight practical implications or examples of Neo-Humanistic Education in modern settings. Proposed addition: The paper also explores practical strategies for integrating Neo-Humanism in diverse educational settings, highlighting its potential to address challenges such as inequity and sustainable development.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate and aligns with established theories and practices in holistic and inclusive education. It connects Neo-Humanism with contemporary educational frameworks such as Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Social-Emotional Learning (SEL).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and include both foundational texts by Sarkar and recent scholarly sources. However adding more recent empirical studies or global reports on inclusivity and value-based education, such as those by UNESCO (2021 or later), might further strengthen the manuscript's relevance.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is scholarly clear and appropriate for academic communication. Minor grammatical refinements and simplifications in some sections could improve readability without losing the academic tone.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The manuscript successfully bridges theoretical insights with practical applications, making it valuable for both researchers and educators.2. It may benefit from a dedicated section on assessing the outcomes of Neo-Humanistic education offering potential methodologies for evaluating its effectiveness.3. Addressing criticisms or limitations such as feasibility in resource-limited contexts would provide a more balanced perspective.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zawad Rami
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh