

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129929
Title of the Manuscript:	Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Neo-Humanism: A Framework for Inclusive and Value-Based Education
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Neo-Humanism offers a framework for responding to issues such as social injustice, educational exclusion, and environmental degradation. Neo-Humanism principles support the development of curricula that respect diversity and equity, in line with the goals of education for the 21st century and UNESCO's Agenda 2030. Neo-Humanism's focus on ecological awareness and social responsibility makes it an important tool for education that supports sustainable development, especially in an era of climate change and environmental crises. Neo-Humanism can help reform rigid education systems to be more responsive to the needs of individuals and communities. Implementation can include teacher training, developing values-based curricula, and increasing community engagement.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	"Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Neo-Humanism: A Framework for Inclusive and Value-Based Education" already reflects the substance of the research, but there are several aspects that can be considered to ensure that this title truly covers the entire core of the discussion. For example, "Integrating Neo-Humanism into Modern Education: A Pathway to Inclusivity and Universal Values", Neo-Humanism in Education: A Transformative Framework for Inclusivity, Equity, and Ethical Growth", or "Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Neo-Humanism: Redefining Inclusive and Value-Based Education"	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract adequately describes the main focus of the study, which is Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Neo-Humanism philosophy, and how it supports inclusive and values-based education. However, the abstract has a somewhat jumbled flow. The following structure would improve coherence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Background: Why is this study important?</li> <li>• Objectives: What does this study aim to achieve?</li> <li>• Methods or Approach (if any): How was the study conducted?</li> <li>• Main Results: What are the key findings or implications?</li> <li>• Conclusion: Why is this study significant?</li> </ul> <p>There is no mention of the methods or approaches used to analyze Neo-Humanism. Mentioning these would increase the credibility of the abstract. Some sentences in the abstract are too long and could be summarized without compromising their meaning.</p>	
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript appears to be scientifically valid, but to ensure its validity, the following aspects need to be noted: There is no information about the research approach used (e.g., literature review, critical analysis, or empirical methods). Without a methodology, it is difficult to assess the validity and reliability of the arguments. The manuscript mentions implementation challenges, but the scientific critique of Neo-Humanism as an educational philosophy is lacking in depth. This critique is important to show balance and sharpness of the analysis. Most of the references come from Sarkar's work or sources that support Neo-Humanism, which could indicate bias. It would be better to add more diverse references, including those critical of this philosophy. Recommendations for the application of Neo-Humanism in education tend to be general. It would be better if it was accompanied by case studies or specific examples.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references in the manuscript include relevant sources that support the main substance, such as Sarkar's work, inclusive education literature, and UNESCO documents. However, most of the references are from older literature (1980s to early 2000s) and lack recent research. It would be better to add more recent sources to increase relevance, especially regarding the practical implementation of inclusive education and universal values in modern contexts.</p>	
<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English in this article is good enough for scientific communication, with appropriate academic vocabulary and a clear flow. However, some sentences are too long and could be condensed to improve clarity and readability. Minor revisions to sentence structure would make it more effective.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Kurniawan Tri Wibowo</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>SAIZU State Islamic University, Indonesia</b></p>