

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129929
Title of the Manuscript:	Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Neo-Humanism: A Framework for Inclusive and Value-Based Education
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Providing a different perspective on a phenomenon is very important to get us out of the box. Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Neo-Humanism perspective in building an educational paradigm enriches the alternative concept of education itself which has been conventional so far. It's just that the author still needs to explore more deeply the urgency from this perspective. Especially in the introduction should indicate the <i>state of the art</i>- which places the research position among the previous research. The next paragraph must contain <i>the research gap</i> (gap in previous research) and the newness of the research conducted by the author. <i>Novelty</i> or novelty must be clearly visible. It is necessary to prove some references or real phenomena in various countries as examples of cases for your claims about the current education paradigm, explain what are the limitations of the current education system so that it supports the framework you offer.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes, in my opinion this title is in accordance with the scientific rules for an article</b>	

**Review Form 3**

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the written abstract is still absurd and floating because it only contains an introduction to Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Neo-Humanism. A good article if the abstract is structured systematically: Objectives, methods, main findings that contain meanings that the author captures from the deepening of interviews or literature studies, theoretical and practical implications containing implications (solutions) for the deepening of your literature review about PRS's Neo-humanism, novelty containing the novelty of research when compared to other articles.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This article is actually interesting to review because it can provide an alternative perspective on the educational framework, but the author still needs to conduct an in-depth literature review in order to produce good and scientifically correct writing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, my advice is to use at least 80% references from the last 8 years of research.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it still needs to be perfected according to the correct grammar	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Antong
Department, University & Country	Accounting University of Muhammadiyah Palopo , Indonesia