

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129393
Title of the Manuscript:	“KVK-Led Initiatives and their Effect on the Knowledge and Adoption of Improved Chickpea Cultivar JAKI-9218”
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well written and tried to explain about the knowledge and adoption of the farmers towards improved chickpea cultivar JAKI-9218 in Dharwad district of Karnataka. India ranks first in both area and production of Chickpea while Karnataka, a major pulse-producing state. So, analyzing knowledge and adoption of improved cultivar is crucial and give a pathway for future research and improvement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is well written and consists of 221 words.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientific	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but some URLs needs to be written in correct format	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language is correct for easy understanding	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	<u>Biswajit Mallick</u>
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	<u>Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India</u>