

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_128519
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling Trends: A 5-year Analysis of Non- Emergency Visits to the Emergency Department Amidst Primary Care Challenges in the USA and Canada
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific and medical communities as it sheds light on the critical issue of overcrowding in emergency departments (EDs) due to low-acuity visits. By analyzing socio-demographic factors and their correlation with ED utilization, the study provides valuable insights into disparities in healthcare access and usage patterns across different age groups, sexes, and races. Furthermore, the findings on the impact of primary healthcare accessibility and pandemic-related changes offer a foundation for optimizing resource allocation and enhancing primary care services. The manuscript not only identifies key areas of concern but also suggests directions for policy interventions, making it a crucial step toward improving healthcare delivery and mitigating ED over-utilization.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Here are some optional or general comments you could include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript is well-structured and clearly presents the objectives, methodology, and results. However, ensuring consistency in formatting and providing additional context for statistical methods (e.g., chi-square test) could further enhance clarity.</li> <li>While the manuscript effectively highlights correlations and trends, deeper discussion on the implications of these findings for healthcare policy and practice would add value.</li> <li>The comparison between Canada and the US is insightful, but elaborating on the differences in healthcare systems that may influence ED usage could provide a broader perspective.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bondarieva Iryna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National University of Pharmacy, Ukraine</b>