

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128623
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of wheat cultivars to water deficit in North Nile Delta
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I commend the authors for their diligent work in addressing a significant topic: <u>Response of wheat cultivars to water deficit in the North Nile Delta</u>. To further enhance the quality of the manuscript and strengthen its suitability for publication, I offer the following suggestions for consideration.</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Enhance the clarity and conciseness of the abstract, particularly in the latter half.• Avoid broad statements such as "Irrigating wheat with 80% of WN has so many advantages," and instead provide specific findings and their implications. <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Clearly summarize the objectives of the research.• Incorporate recent references. <p>Materials and Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Provide a reference or link to the agro-meteorological station website to allow readers to access detailed information about the data source.• Replace the term "Climatological elements" with a more precise term, such as "climatic variables" or "weather parameters," to enhance clarity.• Instead of listing all agronomic traits, summarize this section by focusing on the most pertinent information, ensuring that only essential details are included to maintain brevity and relevance. <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ensure consistency in capitalization, particularly in the initial lines, by standardizing terms like "Irrigation" and "Number" to maintain uniformity.• Correct typographical errors, such as the misspelling of "were" in the paragraph following Table 5, to enhance readability.• Eliminate unnecessary double spaces throughout the text to improve the manuscript's presentation.• Strengthen the discussion by providing deeper insights into the results, comparing them with findings from recent studies, and elaborating on their implications to enrich the manuscript's scholarly contribution. <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Refine the conclusion to succinctly encapsulate the key findings, their significance, and potential applications, offering readers a clear understanding of the study's contributions and future directions. <p>By addressing these suggestions, the manuscript will be more robust and aligned with scholarly standards, thereby enhancing its potential impact in the field.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bader Ijaz
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture, Pakistan