

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_129495
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the Phytochemical Constituents of Epiphytes in the Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript gives the medicinal importance of different Epiphytes and presence of various phytoconstituents.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Investigation of the Phytochemical Constituents of diverse Epiphytes in the Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should be around 200-250 words, so give relevant information in abstract. Delete the methodology part in abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In Material and methodology, add the information about the study area and data collection also add the full evidence of the reference paper used for the standardized methodology in Quantitative Phytochemical Survey Procedure. 2. Give the information about the standard curve prepare for the calculation of Quantitative Phytochemical analysis. 3. Add the collected Photos of plant species 4. Discuss in detail about the qualitative phytochemical results. 5. Give the information or data of readings in taken in replica either add the standard error in the data. 6. In discussion part you have to compare your results and discuss with the available literature in detail. 7. Elaborate the Conclusion by using the result and discussion data. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need mild improvement in typing errors	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhavna Kabila
Department, University & Country	Kanya Maha Vidyalaya, India