

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_129666
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIES FOR ECOTOURISM DEVELOPMENT AT PUNCAK TANGKE TABU, SEMPAJAYA VILLAGE, BERASTAGI, KARO REGENCY
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is very interesting and relevant to the present and future challenges facing these fragile territories with increasing tourism activity. It is well-presented and structured. However, the introduction should be better referenced. This, together with a LITERATURE REVIEW section setting out previous international research, its limits, and the contribution of the research presented, would greatly enrich the article and link it to more profound conclusions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is the title suitable!	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	Congratulation for this article. Figures and Tables is not visible within the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The literature review does not thoroughly explore recent developments in environmental impact forecasting and strategies for ecotourism development, which could make the manuscript seem less informed about the current state of the field. I recommend incorporating studies from the past three years that discuss recent advancements in predictive environmental modeling and ecotourism. Ecotourism has emerged as a tourism development approach integrating environmental conservation, local culture, and community welfare (Djuwendah et al., 2023; Drăguleasa et al., 2023; Kumar et al., 2023; Mazilu & Drăguleasa, 2021; Guerrero-Moreno & Oliveira-Junior, 2024). Djuwendah, E., Karyani, T., Wulandari, E., & Pradono, P. (2023). Community-based agro-ecotourism sustainability in west java, indonesia. <i>Sustainability</i> , 15(13), 10432. Drăguleasa, I. A., Niță, A., & Mazilu, M. (2023). Capitalization of Tourist Resources in the Post-COVID-19 Period—Developing the Chorematic Method for Oltenia Tourist Destination, Romania. <i>Sustainability</i> , 15(3), 2018. Guerrero-Moreno, M. A., & Oliveira-Junior, J. M. B. (2024). Approaches, Trends, and Gaps in Community-Based Ecotourism Research: A Bibliometric Analysis of Publications between 2002 and 2022. <i>Sustainability</i> , 16(7), 2639. Kumar, S., Hasija, N., Kumar, V., & Sageena, G. (2023). Ecotourism: a holistic assessment of environmental and socioeconomic effects towards sustainable development. <i>Current World Environment</i> , 18(2), 589-607. Mazilu, M., & Drăguleasa, I. A. (2021). The Development of Ecotourism South-West Oltenia Region. <i>International Journal of Innovation Scientific Research and Review</i> , 3(09), 1755-1760.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	*I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper.	
Optional/General comments	IV. Conclusion and Recommendations Future research directions.....???	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Draguleasa Ionut-Adrian
Department, University & Country	University of Craiova, Romania