

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_129815
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges Faced By Teachers in the Implementation of Guidance and Counselling in Eswatini High Schools
Type of the Article	EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY AND COUNSELING ARTICLE

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is significant to the scientific community as it focuses on the effectiveness of Guidance and Counseling programs in schools in line with the Psychosocial and Educational support offered to learners	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the Manuscript is relevant	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. However, the sub titles on the abstract should be deleted and the abstract written in block single space. Recommendations of the study should be added on the abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	A GOOD ARTICLE. PLEASE WORK ON AREAS INDICATED TO IMPROVE ON THE QUALITY OF THE MANUSCRIPT PLEASE REVIEW MARKED AREAS ON THE ABSTRACT, INTRODUCTION, STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM, MATERIALS AND METHOD, CORRECT GRAMMATICAL ERRORS AS HIGHLIGHTED	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pamela Akinyi Mudis
Department, University & Country	Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya