

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_129815
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges Faced By Teachers in the Implementation of Guidance and Counselling in Eswatini High Schools
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are adequate	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>Abstract: An important recommendation made should be stated here</p> <p>Key words: It should be between 5-7words. I suggest one or two key words should be added</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <p>METHODOLOGY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It was stated that purposively sampled for this study using simple random Sampling. This is problematic. The author cannot mix these two techniques here. The study is purely qualitative. I suggest the simple random should be taken off and the choice of purposive sampling should be justified. - It was also indicated that the study used two instruments, which is the one-on-one interview and focus group. one-on-one interview and focus group are data collection procedures not necessary instruments. This needed to be reworded properly. <p>In-text citation: citations such as Wirihana, Welch, Williamson, Christensen, Bakon & Craft, 2018 should be made Wirihana et al., (2018); Mbongo, Mowes and Chata (2016) should be Mbongo et al., (2016).</p> <p>References The author(s) did not add the digital object identifier (DOI) of the references. It is important to add them if available.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kennedy Nyeseh Ofori
Department, University & Country	Wesley College of Education, Ghana