

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_129667
Title of the Manuscript:	Technological Progress And Engineering Applications: Safety Impacts For Workplaces And Society”
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Thanks to the author/s for giving me the opportunity of looking at this manuscript. The authors nicely highlighted a nice contribution by bringing in the concept of BSM into the field of safety and risk assessment techniques. However, it is not clear how you link this idea with the engineering applications, so could you elaborate that somewhere in the abstract and conclusion please?	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is nicely articulated, thank you very much.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is very clear, could you add how you match the concept of BSM to risk assessment and engineering applications? I can see you managed to link the BSM with risk assessment methods but how about the engineering applications? Once sentence or two would be enough.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Well, this needs to be rewritten. Can the author number the sections please, for example, in methods section research approach 2.1, integration of methods 2.2, data collection methods 2.3 and so on. The work looks like adopted from a doctoral or masters thesis, so could you please change the word chapter and dissertation mentioned in different parts of the manuscript. Replace the word chapter with section or part which is better. How comes results and discussion (pg 17) mentioned before findings in (pg23)? What is that??? Should you clarify please because its not structurally clear. Should you write the conclusion as a paragraphs rather than bullets just to make it scientifically written piece of work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Unfortunately, the manuscript significantly lacks of references. The author/s do not support their claims in several positions with enough and valid references. Please wherever you provide a claim, do consider a supportive reference as an evidence.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the level of the language is great, so thank you.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Ben Saed
Department, University & Country	Libya