

Screening of Crossandra (*Crossandra infundibuliformis* (L.) (Nees.) genotypes for root lesion nematode

Abstract

An experiment was conducted with ten crossandra genotypes collected from various institutions and local sources at Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore. The experiment was laid out using FCRD design with three replications with genotypes uninoculated and inoculated with nematodes. Observations were taken after 60 days of inoculation. The results revealed that Nilakottai local and Arka Shrivya recorded the least percentage of reduction of shoot weight (13.73 & 14.55%) and root weight (18.83 & 16.93%) under inoculated condition. The increased percentage of phenol, polyphenol oxidase, peroxidase activity and phenylalanine ammonia lyase was higher in Nilakottai local and Arka Shrivya and the least was noticed in Dharmapuri local under inoculated conditions. The root necrosis percentage ranged from 7.05 to 21.17. The lowest root lesion percentage was recorded in genotype Nilakottai local (7.05) followed by Arka Shrivya (8.67) and the highest necrosis percentage was observed in genotype Dharmapuri local (21.17). Resistant reaction was noticed in genotype Nilakottai local and Arka Shrivya. Tolerant reaction was observed in genotype Delhi crossandra, Arka Ambara, Arka Chenna, Arka Shreeya and Arka Kanaka. The susceptible reaction was found in genotype Villupuram local, Neyveli local and Dharmapuri local.

Introduction

Root lesion nematode (*Pratylenchus delattrei*) is an important plant parasitic nematode in Tamil Nadu, particularly on crossandra (Vadivelu and Muthukrishnan, 1981b). It is a migratory endoparasitic nematode feeding on roots. The nematode infested plant shows stunted growth with pale yellow and puckering of leaves, internodal length will be reduced and gives a rosette appearance. The roots showed brownish necrotic lesions initially and later stages, the whole roots get decay. *P. delattrei* causes serious damage to the crop (Jonathan *et al.*, 2001). A resistance mechanism to nematodes is considered as an excellent way which restricts or prevents nematode infestation. Apart from morphological characters, biochemical resistance plays a vital role in biotic resistance. While the plants affected with the nematodes by wounding, the plants will produce different defense enzymes. Hence, it is important to

Commented [BAG1]: Recommendation and conclusion should be included

Commented [BAG2]: Key words should be provided

Commented [BAG3]: Source

understand the resistance/tolerance through morphological and biochemical basis is of great importance. So, it is necessary to screen the genotypes for their reaction to nematodes. Hence, a study was conducted to screen crossandra genotypes for root lesion nematode (*Pratylenchus delattrei*) under pot culture conditions at the Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore.

Materials and Methods

A random survey was conducted at Sempatti block of Dindugul district to identify the *Pratylenchus delattrei* infested sick field. The soil and root sample were collected at the depth of 25-30 cm in the nematode sick field. The collected soil samples were processed by using Cobb's decanting and sieving method (Cobb, 1918) followed by modified baermann's funnel technique (Schindler, 1961). The collected root samples were washed in running tap water to remove the adhering soil particles. Then, the roots are cut into small pieces. Later stained and destaining of root was done in boiling of acid fuschin lactophenol and in plain lactophenol for 48 hours. The nematode in soil and root was observed under stereozoom microscope (1-5x) and compound microscope to identify the nematode species by morphological characters at Department of Nematology, TNAU, Coimbatore. Then, the lesion nematode culture was maintained in crossandra under pot culture conditions for further studies (Mallaiah *et al.*, 2014).

Screening of crossandra genotypes

Ten crossandra genotype cuttings were collected from various institutions and local allowed for rooting under mist chamber at Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore. The potting mixture consists of red soil, sand, farmyard manure in the ratio of 2:1:1 and sterilized using autoclave at 121°C for 30 minutes at 15 lbs. The rooted cuttings were transplanted in earthen pots @2 cuttings/pot. After 15 days of transplanting, two rooted cuttings thinned into one and the collected nematode suspension inoculated @ 2J2 /g soil in the rhizosphere region. The experiment was laid out using FCRD design with the genotypes of uninoculated and inoculated with nematodes and three replications for each genotypes were maintained. The plants were watered frequently and packages of practices were followed as per the crop production guide. Observations viz., shoot length, root length, shoot weight, root weight, soil nematode population and root nematode population were taken after 60 days of inoculation.

Commented [BAG4]: Write in full

Commented [BAG5]: Replace with Data were collected on

Root lesion index (%) was recorded using root necrosis percentage by following the method described by Pinochet (1988).

List 1 : **Root lesion index**

Necrotic lesion percentage	Reaction	Lesion index
No lesions	Immune	1
1-10%	Resistant	2
11-20%	Tolerant	3
21-40%	Susceptible	4
>40%	Highly susceptible	5

Results and Discussion

Root lesion nematode resistance on plant growth

The lowest percentage of reduction for shoot length and shoot weight was recorded in genotype Nilakottai local but the highest shoot length and shoot weight was observed in Arka Ambara and Nilakottai local, respectively. Resistance genotypes had the least shoot length and shoot weight reduction than the susceptible genotypes. It is an important factor and directly influences yield of the genotype. Lowest reduction in shoot length and weight is always used for selection of the genotype at initial stages. Similar reduction was reported earlier by Vadivelu and Muthukrishnan (1981b) in crossandra inoculated with *P. delattre*, Kavitha *et al.* (2016) and Das *et al.* (2013) in banana screened against for *P. coffeae*.

The highest root length and root weight was recorded in genotype Arka Shravya and Nilakottai local, respectively, but the lowest reduction percentage was observed in genotype Nilakottai local. Resistance genotypes had the least root length and root weight reduction than the susceptible genotypes. This might be due to the low nematode reproduction on resistance genotypes. Good root development favours resistance to genotypes. This is line was in accordance with the findings of Vadivelu and Muthukrishnan (1981b) in crossandra inoculated with *P. delattrei*; Kavitha *et al.* (2016) and Das *et al.* (2013) in banana screened against *P. coffeae*.

Root lesion nematode resistance

Commented [BAG6]: Which Table

Commented [BAG7]: Resistant

Commented [BAG8]: Which one is resistant genotype and which table?

Commented [BAG9]: Which Table?

Commented [BAG10]: delete

Table x shows that Among the genotype screened, Nilakottai local was recorded the lowest population in both soil (112.33) and roots (76.33). The resistant genotypes had lesser population of nematode in soil and root. Hence the growth of the plant was not affected. In tolerant genotypes, nematode population was slightly higher than others and hence it entered into the plants and the plants did not support the nematode multiplication. Susceptible genotype had higher number of population in soil and root and favours the nematode multiplication. Similar results were reported by Kavitha *et al.* (2016); Janarthani (2002), Damodaran *et al.* (2007) and Krishnamoorthy and Kumar (2004) in banana screened against *P.coffeae*.

Commented [BAG11]: insert

Commented [BAG12]: delete

Commented [BAG13]: arrange the authours in ascending order

Genotype Nilakottai local was recoded the lowest root lesion index followed by Arka Shrivaya. So, it is considered as a resistance genotype. Genotype Dharmapuri local registered higher root lesion index followed by Neyveli local and Villupuram local. Among the ten genotypes, two genotypes showed resistance, five genotypes showed tolerance and three genotypes showed susceptible symptoms. Similar results were reported by Kavitha *et al.* (2016), Janarthani (2002) and Damodaran *et al.* (2007); in banana screened against *P.coffeae*.

Commented [BAG14]: Which Table?

Commented [BAG15]: Name them

Commented [BAG16]: Name them

Commented [BAG17]: Name them

Commented [BAG18]: arrange the authours in ascending order

Biochemical basis of resistance to root lesion nematode

Table 4 shows the activity of defence enzyme of In Nematode inoculated and uninoculated Crossandra genotypes Phenol, polyphenol oxidase, peroxidase and phenylalanine ammonia lyase were an important enzymes that plays a vital role in defence mechanism. Hypersensitive resistance mechanism was followed. Increased phenol content was noticed in nematode inoculated genotypes compared to uninoculated genotypes. Among the genotypes screened resistant and tolerance genotypes had the highest phenol content compared to susceptible genotypes. Increased phenol content in nematode inoculated plants might be due to excess production of hydrogen peroxide by increased respiration (Farkas and Kiraaly, 1962). In resistant genotypes active phenols may be released from glycosides by increased activities of β -glucosidases and later get oxidized. It leads to browning and necrotic tissue (Acedo and Rohde, 1971). Similar increased phenol content was reported by Nithyadevi *et al.* (2007) and Damodaran *et al.* (2007) in banana screened against *P. coffeae*. In contrary, decreased activity of phenol content in resistant genotypes reported by Sundararaju and Pandisuba (2006) in banana screened against *P.coffeae*.

Polyphenol oxidase oxidizes the phenols to toxic quinines. It will be helpful for conversion of phenols into polymers like lignin. Thereby, it induces resistance in nematode

inoculated plants. Among the screened genotypes, inoculated and resistant genotypes recorded the highest polyphenol oxidase activity than uninoculated and susceptible genotypes. Similar increased polyphenol oxidase activity was reported by Damodaran *et al.* (2007), Nithyadevi *et al.* (2007); Sundararaju and Pandisuba (2006) and Das *et al.* (2013) in banana screened against *P.coffeae*.

Peroxidase induces the defense mechanism by condensing the phenols into lignin like insoluble polymers through phenylpropanoid pathway. High peroxidase activity was noticed in inoculated and resistance genotypes compared to uninoculated and susceptible genotypes. These results are in accordance with the results of Nithyadevi *et al.* (2007), Damodaran *et al.* (2007) and Das *et al.* (2013).

Phenylalanine ammonia lyase is the first enzyme produced in phenylpropanoid pathway. Lignin and phenols are produced from phenylpropanoid pathway. Thereby it involved in defence mechanism. In this present investigation also increased phenylalanine ammonia lyase content was noticed in nematode inoculated plants compared to uninoculated plants. Similar increased PAL content was reported by Nithyadevi *et al.* (2007), Sundararaju and Pandisuba (2006), Damodaran *et al.* (2007) and Das *et al.* (2013) in banana screened against *P.coffeae*.

From the experiment the results revealed that Arka Shrivya recorded highest yield among ten genotypes evaluated and also showed resistant reaction for the root lesion nematode resistance. Hence, Arka Shrivya can be recommended to cultivate under nematode infested soil.

Conclusion :

Among the ten genotypes studied, resistant reaction for nematode were noticed in genotype Nilakottai local and Arka Shrivya. Comparing the yield parameters the genotype Arka Shrivya can be recommended to cultivate under nematode infested soil.

Table 1. Effect of *P. delattrei* inoculated and uninoculated crossandra genotypes on shoot weight and root weight

Genotypes	Shoot weight (g)				Root weight (g)			
	Uninoculated	Inoculated with <i>P.delattrei</i>	Mean	Percentage difference	Uninoculated	Inoculated with <i>P.delattrei</i>	Mean	Percentage difference
Arka Shrivya	20.18	16.98	18.83	-15.86	12.23	10.16	11.20	-16.93

Arka Kanaka	11.40	8.97	10.18	-21.32	5.12	3.78	4.45	-26.17
Arka Shreeya	16.54	12.82	14.68	-22.49	4.68	3.56	4.12	-23.93
Arka Ambara	20.69	16.73	18.71	-19.14	13.65	10.28	11.97	-24.69
Arka Chenna	15.24	12.68	13.96	-16.80	7.24	5.63	6.44	-22.24
Nilakottai local	23.02	19.86	21.44	-13.73	12.78	10.92	11.99	-14.55
Dharmapuri local	15.25	9.75	12.5	-36.07	5.64	3.02	4.33	-46.45
Delhi crossandra	8.00	6.50	7.25	-18.75	1.16	0.96	1.06	-17.24
Viluppuram local	9.72	7.31	8.51	-24.79	4.46	3.10	3.78	-30.49
Neyveli local	9.52	7.02	8.27	-26.26	4.58	3.19	3.89	-30.35
S.Ed	0.51	0.23	0.73	-	0.25	0.11	0.36	-
CD (P=0.05)	1.04	0.46	1.47	-	0.51	0.23	0.72	-

Table 2. Effect of *P. delattrei* inoculated and uninoculated crossandra genotypes on shoot length and root length

Genotypes	Shoot length (cm)				Root length (cm)			
	Uninoculated	Inoculated with <i>P. delattrei</i>	Mean	Percentage difference	Uninoculated	Inoculated with <i>P. delattrei</i>	Mean	Percentage difference
Arka Shrivya	42.32	36.94	39.63	-12.71	38.50	33.25	35.88	-13.64
Arka Kanaka	34.62	26.35	30.49	-23.89	34.26	28.00	31.13	-18.27
Arka Shreeya	35.00	28.00	31.50	-20.00	35.65	30.26	32.96	-15.12
Arka Ambara	50.00	42.33	46.17	-15.34	35.00	29.83	32.42	-14.77

Arka Chenna	33.00	27.00	30.00	-18.18	34.00	28.96	31.48	-14.82
Nilakottai Local	43.07	39.23	41.15	-8.92	27.00	23.58	25.29	-12.67
Dharmapuri local	36.00	23.56	29.78	-34.56	36.00	28.62	32.31	-20.50
Delhi crossandra	16.00	13.89	14.95	-13.19	27.00	23.26	25.13	-13.85
Viluppuram Local	28.13	20.67	24.40	-26.52	22.00	17.00	19.50	-22.73
Neyveli local	23.00	16.00	19.50	-30.43	31.00	21.00	26.00	-32.26
S.Ed	1.06	0.48	1.50	-	0.96	0.43	1.36	-
CD (P=0.05)	2.15	0.96	3.04	-	1.94	0.87	2.75	-

Table 3. Activity of defense enzyme of phenol and polyphenol oxidase in nematode inoculated and uninoculated crossandra genotypes

Genotypes	Phenol (mg/g)				Polyphenol oxidase ($\Delta A/g/min$)			
	Uninoculated	Inoculated with <i>P.delattrei</i>	Mean	Percent age difference	Uninoculated	Inoculated with <i>P.delattrei</i>	Mean	Percent age difference
Arka Shravya	4.30	6.20	5.25	44.19	0.63	0.98	0.81	55.56
Arka Kanaka	3.10	4.06	3.58	30.97	0.43	0.57	0.50	32.56
Arka Shreeya	3.75	5.00	4.38	33.33	0.48	0.64	0.56	33.33
Arka Ambara	4.26	5.92	5.09	38.97	0.59	0.90	0.75	52.54
Arka Chenna	3.90	5.22	4.56	33.85	0.51	0.73	0.62	43.14
Nilakottai local	4.50	6.80	5.65	51.11	0.70	1.20	0.95	71.43
Dharma puri local	2.50	2.68	2.59	7.20	0.32	0.35	0.34	9.37
Delhi crossand	4.10	5.90	5.00	43.90	0.62	0.96	0.79	54.84

ra								
Viluppuram local	2.60	2.95	2.78	13.46	0.39	0.47	0.43	20.51
Neyveli local	2.75	3.09	2.92	12.36	0.34	0.39	0.37	14.71
S.Ed	0.15	0.07	0.21	-	0.03	0.01	0.04	-
CD (P=0.05)	0.30	0.14	0.43	-	0.05	0.02	0.07	-

Table 4. Activity of defense enzyme of peroxidase and phenylalanine ammonia lyase in nematode inoculated and uninoculated crossandra genotypes

Genotypes	Peroxidase ($\Delta A/g/min$)				Phenylalanine ammonia lyase ($\Delta A/g/min$)			
	Uninoculated	Inoculated with <i>P.delattrei</i>	Mean	Percent age difference	Uninoculated	Inoculated with <i>P.delattrei</i>	Mean	Percent age difference
Arka Shravya	1.40	2.16	1.78	54.29	1.36	2.45	1.91	80.15
Arka Kanaka	1.29	1.72	1.51	33.33	1.20	1.88	1.54	56.67
Arka Shreeya	1.32	1.80	1.56	36.36	1.25	2.05	1.65	64.00
Arka Ambara	1.40	1.98	1.69	41.43	1.33	2.35	1.84	76.69
Arka Chenna	1.32	1.88	1.60	42.42	1.26	2.16	1.71	71.43
Nilakottai local	1.60	2.70	2.15	68.75	1.40	2.60	2.00	85.71
Dharma puri local	1.10	1.18	1.14	7.27	0.98	1.12	1.05	14.29
Delhi crossandra	1.42	2.08	1.75	46.48	1.35	2.39	1.87	77.04
Viluppuram local	1.10	1.27	1.19	15.45	1.15	1.32	1.24	14.78
Neyveli local	0.88	1.00	0.94	13.64	1.02	1.18	1.10	15.69
S.Ed	0.05	0.02	0.08	-	0.06	0.03	0.08	-
CD	0.11	0.05	0.16	-	0.12	0.05	0.17	-

(P=0.05)								
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 5. Nematode population, lesion index and reaction of crossandra genotypes against *P. delattrei*

Genotype	Final nematode population in soil (200cc)	Nematode population in root (5g)	Root lesion index	Reaction level
Arka Shravya	136.33	87.23	8.67	Resistant
Arka Kanaka	180.00	172.66	13.67	Tolerant
Arka Shreeya	176.33	164.33	11.33	Tolerant
Arka Ambara	165.67	141.55	10.50	Tolerant
Arka Chenna	169.00	156.32	11.00	Tolerant
Nilakottai Local	112.33	76.33	7.05	Resistant
Dharmapuri local	323.67	243.78	21.17	Susceptible
Delhi crossandra	153.03	128.37	10.16	Tolerant
Viluppuram Local	297.33	212.65	20.17	Susceptible
Neyveli local	280.30	225.98	20.50	Susceptible
S.Ed	0.11	0.10	-	-
CD (P=0.05%)	0.23	0.22	-	-

References

- Acedo, J., and R. Rohde. 1971. "Histochemical Root Pathology of *Brassica oleracea capitata* L. Infected by *Pratylenchus penetrans* (Cobb) Filipjev and Schuurmans Stekhoyen (Nematoda: Tylenchidae)." *Journal of nematology* 3 (1):62.
- Cobb, N.A. 1918. Estimating the nema population of soil, with special reference to the sugar-beet and root-gall nemas, *Heterodera schachtii* Schmidt and *Heterodera radicum* (Greef) Müller: and with a description of *Tylencholaimus aequalis* n. sp: *Agricultural Technical Circular*, 1, 48 pp. US Government Printing Office.
- Damodaran, T., N. Kumar, K. Poornima, and M. Kavino. 2007. "Reaction of Musa hybrids to *Pratylenchus coffeae* and its differential biochemical response to nematode infection."

Nematologia Mediterranea 35 (1):85-90.

- Das, S.C., T. Balamohan, K. Poornima, and N. Seenivasan. 2013. "Screening of Musa hybridS for resistance to *Pratylenchus coffeae*." *Indian Journal of Horticulture* 70 (3):350-356.
- Farkas, G., and Z. Kiraaly. 1962. "Role of phenolic compounds in the physiology of plant diseases and disease resistance." *Journal of Phytopathology* 44 (2):105-150
- Janarthani, D. 2002. "Studies on mechanism of resistance in certain banana cultivars (*Musa* spp.) to burrowing and root knot nematodes." *M. Sc.(Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore*.
- Jonathan, E., S. Kumar, K. Devarajan and G. Rajendran. 2001. "Nematode pests of commercial flower crops." In *Fundamentals of Plant Nematology*, 20-48. Devi Publication Trichy.
- Kavitha, P., T. Balamohan, K. Poornima, I. Van den Bergh and D. De Waele. 2016. "Screening of banana hybrids for resistance to *Pratylenchus coffeae*." *Journal of Horticultural Science* 3 (1):57-61.
- Krishnamoorthy, V. and N. Kumar. 2004. "Screening of Banana Hybrids (4X) against *Pratylenchus coffeae* under field conditions." *Indian Journal of Nematology* 34 (1):5-8.
- Mallaiah, B., M. Muthamilan and S. Prabhu. 2014. "Studies on interaction of nematode, *Pratylenchus delattrei* and fungal pathogen, *Fusarium incarnatum* associated with crossandra wilt in Tamil Nadu, India." *Current Biotica* 8 (2):157-164.
- Nithyadevi, A., V. Ponnuswami, P. Sundararaju, K. Soorianathasundaram and S. Sathiamoorthy. 2007. "Phenylalanine ammonia lyaseand total phenol content in resistant banana to *Pratylenchus coffeae*." *Indian Journal of Nematology* 37 (2):149-155.
- Pinochet, J. 1988. "A method for screening bananas and plantains to lesion forming nematodes." *Nematodes and the weevil borer in bananas. Present status of research and outlook. INIBAP. Montpellier, Francia. 102pp*.
- Schindler, A. 1961. "A simple substitute for a Baermann funnel." *Plant Disease Reporter* 45 (9):747.

Sundararaju, P. and K. Pandisuba. 2006. "Biochemical changes in banana plants induced by *Pratylenchus coffeae* and *Meloidogyne incognita*." *Indian Journal of Nematology* 36 (2):239-245.

Vadivelu, S. and T. Muthukrishnan. 1981b. "Pathogenicity of *Pratylenchus delattrei* Luc, 1958 to crossandra with special reference to biochemical alterations." *Indian Journal of Nematology* 11 (1):109-109.

Commented [BAG19]: What is the meaning? Please delete

UNDER PEER REVIEW